Different cultivation media conditions affecting behavior and genetic stability of *Lepidium sativum* L.
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**ABSTRACT:** *Lepidium sativum* is an edible medicinal plant from the family Brassicaceae. It holds many uses in folk medicine, and the bioactivities activities originate from its constituents. So, it is essential to estimate the different physiological compounds in this plant. It is also essential to determine which organ in the plant could contain these active compounds. So, it is necessary to evaluate the growth conditions of this plant. In this study, *L. sativum* is grown in different conditions (with water only in Petri-dish, in pots with peat-moss soil and on jars with Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium with tissue culture technique). From the results obtained, it was clear that cultivation in peat-moss soil supplement the plant with more pigmentation concentration (but not for a long time) and much protein content. In comparison, cultivation on MS media provides much protein content. In all parameters, seeds germinated with water only have the lowest value. Genetically, RAPD-PCR molecular marker illustrates that there is no genetic stability in *L. sativum* grew on different cultivation conditions. The polymorphism percentage was 54.71%. So, there is genetic variation in response to different cultivation conditions.

1. **INTRODUCTION**

*Lepidium sativum* L. (Garden cress) is a medicinal plant and is a member of the family Brassicaceae and edible plant related to mustard. It is native to Egypt and South-West Asia. It is used as vegetables or sources of industrial and cooking oils, forage, and condiments (Alqahtani et al., 2019; Doke & Guha, 2014). Wadhwa et al. (2012) described the plant as an annual, erect herb and can grow up to about 50 cm. The lower leaves are long petiolated and one lyrate Pinnatipartite; the leaves are lanceolate. The inflorescence is in dense racemes. The flowers have white or slightly pink petals, measuring 2 mm.

Seed extracts from *L. sativum* are used as an antirheumatic, diuretic, and febrifuge, as well as for abdominal discomfort, fracture healing, and gout treatment. These seeds have been linked to the treatment and management of a variety of ailments, including asthma, pain, inflammation, nociception, blood coagulation, oxidative stress, anuresis, and other conditions. The presence of several important phytochemical constituents in *L. sativum* (including alkaloids, flavonoids, cardiotonic glycosides, coumarins, glucosinolates, saponins, sterols, sinapic acid, tannins, triterpene, and uric acid) may be responsible for its ethnopharmacological, preclinical, and clinical recognition (Ghante et al., 2011).

There are many essential physiological molecules affected by any change in growth conditions. These molecules include photosynthetic pigments, carbohydrates, soluble sugars, proteins and others. Khaleghi et al. (2012) mentioned that chlorophyll is a green molecule in plant cells. It plays a vital role in the photosynthesis process. These molecules absorb sunlight and use their energy to synthesize carbohydrates from carbon dioxide and water. There are two types of chlorophyll in plants, chlorophyll a and b. Both of them work as photoreceptors in photosynthesis.

Soluble sugars are categories of carbohydrates. They act as osmolytes to obtain water in the cytoplasm. Likewise, they prevent protein denaturation and cell membrane damage. Furthermore, they induce stability in the structure of enzymatic proteins, thereby preserving their activity (Jafarnia et al., 2018).

Proteins are an essential category of primary biological macromolecules in all living organisms. They are constructed from elements such as oxygen, carbon, sulfur, nitrogen and hydrogen. Proteins are considered as nanoparticles because their size ranged from 1–100 nm. The proteins consist of polymers of several amino acids (polypeptides) consisting of 20 different L-α-amino acids. Proteins have to consists of at least 50 residues to perform all the biological roles, and recently it reached to 300 residues (Ghaly & Alkoai, 2010).

Some plants genetic diversity has been investigated using different molecular markers (Ahmed et al., 2019). The most effective uses have been the study of molecular variability and phylogenetic relationships, marker-assisted selection, varietal identification, quantitative trait loci (QTLs), or the map-based
cloning of genes (Ahmad et al., 2018). Many identify a limited level of polymorphism despite using different molecular markers to examine genetic diversity in cultivated plant species. Thus, identifying more polymorphic molecular markers is essential for research (Abdein et al., 2018). Other molecular markers estimate the genetic instability and variation in the Populus species’ micro-propagated shoots (Paolucci et al., 2010). These molecular markers included AFLP, SSR, RAPD and genespecific markers.

*Lepidium sativum* is used as leafy vegetables in salads and appetizers, and it is also used as a medicinal plant as a laxative and aid in improving digestion functions. This work aimed to evaluate the impact of different growth conditions on general plant traits, including the morphology of plants, physiological behavior and also the impact on the genetic stability of the plant.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 2.1. Plant materials

The seeds of medicinal plant *Lepidium sativum* were provided by the Agriculture Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (ACGEB) Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Egypt.

## 2.2. Germination test

Seed germination was performed with three different methods (on different media). (1) In Petri dishes covered with filter paper, incubated at room temperature (25 °C in normal light conditions) (10 seeds/3 dishes). (2) In pots filled with peat-moss soil mixed with sand, incubated at room temperature (25°C in normal light conditions) (10 seeds/3 pots). (3) On Murashige and Skoog (1962) (MS) free medium, incubated at incubator at 25°C with 16h light and 8 h dark (3 seeds/15 jars).

## 2.3. Morphological measurements

After seed germination, five morphological parameters were estimated: “shoot and root length, fresh weight, leaf length and width”.

## 2.4. Physiological bioassay

Three essential physiological components were evaluated for the germinated plantlets: “photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll A, chlorophyll B and carotenoids), total soluble sugars and total proteins”.

### 2.4.1 Determination of Photosynthetic Pigments

The method of Metzener et al. (1965) was used for the quantitative determination of chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and carotenoids in the fresh leaves of plants. A known weight of fresh leaves was ground in stock acetone (85%). The supernatant containing the pigments was makeup to a definite volume with 85% acetone after centrifugation. At three wavelengths of 452, 645 and 664 nm using a colorimeter, the extract was measured against a blank of pure 85% aqueous acetone. The chlorophyll a, b and carotenoid concentrations were calculated as µg/ml using the following equations:

\[
\text{Chlorophyll } a = 10.3 A664 - 0.918 A645
\]

\[
\text{Chlorophyll } b = 19.7 A645 - 3.87 A664
\]

\[
\text{Carotenoids} = 4.3 A452 (0.0265 Chl. a + 0.426 Chl. b)
\]

Then, the fractions were calculated as mg/g fresh weight:

\[
\frac{\text{Fraction} \times \text{Dilution factor}}{1000} \text{mg/g}
\]

## 2.4.2 Total soluble sugars

After centrifugation, the supernatant was completed with distilled water to a known volume, a known weight of leaves was ground in 5 ml ethanol 70%. As described in Umbriet et al. (1959), total sugars were determined using the anthrone technique: In a 3 ml sample, 6 ml anthrone solution (2 g/l LH₂SO₄ 95%) was added and kept in a boiling water bath for 3 minutes. After cooling, the developed color was measured spectrophotometrically at 620 nm. The concentration is obtained from the following equation:

\[
\text{Concentration (mg/g)} = \frac{(R-B) + \text{dilution factor} \times \text{factor}}{1000}
\]

## 2.4.3 Total proteins

The total protein was extracted from leaves according to Bradford (1976). Leaves (0.5 g) were weighed and ground with liquid nitrogen. Then 0.5 ml of 2x protein buffer was added to each sample. Finally, samples were centrifuged at 14000 rpm at 4°C and the supernatants were transferred into new tubes. Protein concentration was estimated as follow: 0.1 ml of supernatant was pipette into a test tube and 5 ml of protein reagent was added (100 mg Coomassie brilliant blue G-250 dissolved in 50 ml 95% ethanol, then add 85% phosphoric acid and complete up to 1L by dH₂O). The samples were mixed with the reagent and measured by Spectrophotometer at wavelength 595 nm. The concentration of protein was determined from the protein standard curve. The concentration was calculated according to the equation from standard curve calibration:

\[
X \text{(conc.)} = \frac{(Y \text{(abs.)}} {- 0.030}/0.007
\]

## 2.5. Molecular marker

### 2.5.1 DNA isolation and RAPD-PCR bioassay

Total *L. sativum* genomic DNA (from various medium growth conditions) was isolated using the CTAB method according to Edwards et al. (1991). 800 µl of 2% CTAB buffer, 500 mg of fresh leaves were ground and incubated at 65°C every 10 min with vortex for 30 min. At 12,000 rpm for 10 min, the Eppendorf tubes were centrifuged and the supernatant was transferred into new tubes. Equal volumes of chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (24:1) was added to each tube and set for 2 min at room temperature then centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The upper aqueous layer was transferred into new tubes, and 800 µl of absolute ice-cold ethanol was added and left for about 2h at -20°C centrifuge to precipitate DNA pellets and then wash them with 70% ice-cold ethanol. Finally,
resuspend the pellets in 60 μl of TE buffer and preserve at -20°C till applying RAPD-PCR reaction.

In this bioassay, eight RAPD primers were applied, of which only 4 gave reproducible clear bands and Table 2 lists these primers. The RAPD-PCR reaction in the Biometra thermocycler was performed. A total volume of 25 μl containing 12.5 μl of Taq master mix (COSMO PCR RED M. Mix, W1020300x), 2 μl of genomic DNA, 1 μl of each primer (Willowfort) and 9.5 μl of ddH2O was used for the reaction mixture. The reaction program consisted of 35 cycles of the following steps: 30-sec denaturation at 94°C, 30-sec annealing at different degrees for each primer as shown in Table 2 and 1-min extension at 72°C. This was followed by one phase of final extension for 10 min at 72°C, then cooling at 4°C. Compared to (New England Biolab, #N3232S) ladder gel, the amplified PCR product was run on 1.2 % agarose gel.

2.6. Statistical Analysis

The images resulting from gel electrophoresis were analyzed and the presence of a band was scored as 1, while the absence of the band was coded as 0. Bio-Rad Quantity One (4.6.2) was used to perform these computations (Shuaib et al., 2007).

In SPSS 21, the data collected was subjected to variance test analysis. Significant means have been separated using Duncan test in multivariate analysis for all measured parameters (germination percentage, morphology, pigmentation, total soluble sugars and total protein). A dendrogram was carried out using the complete Euclidean linkage cluster in CAP 1.2 software (Community Analysis Package).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Morphological and physiological parameters

The *L. sativum* plant is an edible edible and medicinal plant. Its growth on the different growth media is shown in Figure 1 inside each medium and outside.

![Figure 1. Morphology of Lepidium sativum plant on different growth conditions](image)

The different measured morphological and physiological parameters in the studied plant species were estimated after 2 weeks of germination. All these parameters were illustrated in Table 1.

Morphologically, shoot length has no significant different and all the plants are nearly of the same length. In fresh weight and root length, the plants grown on MS medium are more significant than the others (grown in Petri dish and peat-moss) that are nearly of the same weight. Physiologically, there are significant differences among the plants in different growth conditions in all measured parameters. In the case of seed germination percentage and total soluble sugar concentration, the plant grown on MS medium has the highest value followed by plants grown in peat-moss then Petri-dish. While in case of chlorophyll A, B, carotenoids and total protein concentrations, the plant grown on peat-moss soil has the highest value followed by plants grown in MS medium then Petri-dish. This explained that minerals and different soil constituents enhance the production of pigmentation and proteins. It was observed that the plant tends to lose its chlorophyll pigmentation quickly (after a few days for complete germination). However, the plant grown on MS medium retains chlorophyll content longer than other conditions (on Petri dish and in peat-moss soil).

*L. sativum* is a medicinal plant with antimicrobial, antioxi-
dant, and anti-inflammatory activities, as mentioned by Alqahtani et al. (2019). Therefore, it is essential to determine the optimum growth conditions to obtain the optimum level for these activities. Lobato et al. (2009) showed that chlorophyll influences total soluble carbohydrates. This enhances indicate that both chlorophyll A and B contents and total soluble sugar concentration increase in case of growth on MS medium. To evaluate any factor’s effect on plant’s morphology, it is essential to estimate many morphological parameters like Roughani et al. (2018), who measured twenty-one agro-morphological traits in six accessions of *L. sativum* to estimate the effect of the geographical distribution on these accessions in Iran.

3.2. Molecular marker (RAPD-PCR)

The RAPD-PCR molecular technique had been successfully used in a number of genetic diversity and genetic stability purposes (Figure 2).

Four decamer primers (Deca-4,11, 12 and 13) gave a total number of 39 reproducible bands (as illustrated in Table 2. The total number of polymorphic bands was 19 with a polymorphic percentage of 54.71%. Table 3 indicated the similarity matrix resulted from the four RAPD primers with *L. sativum*. It indicated that the genetic stability of both seeds germinated in peat-moss soil and on MS media are significantly related to each other, while the genetic stability differs in seeds germinated in Petri-dish.

Different studies used RAPD-PCR molecular technique to distinguish genetic variation or genetic stability of *L. sativum*. For example, Bansal et al. (2012) used the RAPD technique to distinguish the genetic variation in 18 genotypes of *L. sativum* and the mean percentage of polymorphic bands observed was 82.59%. Also, Ottai et al. (2012) used the RAPD marker to assess the genetic variation of three cultivars of *L. sativum* (Haraz, Rajab and Khider) in Egypt. A combination of two molecular markers to assess the genetic variation and genetic stability is available, as Kumar et al. (2012) used both ISSR and RAPD markers to estimate genetic diversity among different 19
Table 1
The measured morphological and physiological parameters of *Lepidium sativum* grown in different growth conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>On Petri-dish</th>
<th>On Peat moss</th>
<th>On MS</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>F value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shoot length (cm)</td>
<td>7.985±0.0931</td>
<td>11.360±5.1490</td>
<td>12.940±3.4187</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>2.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root length (cm)</td>
<td>3.433±0.786</td>
<td>3.333±0.694</td>
<td>5.965±0.798</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>3.734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh weight (g)</td>
<td>0.028±0.0077</td>
<td>0.029±0.0040</td>
<td>0.029±0.0160</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>4.765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf length (cm)</td>
<td>0.485±0.089</td>
<td>0.491±0.0988</td>
<td>0.501±0.0922</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>5.345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf width (cm)</td>
<td>0.155±0.007</td>
<td>0.187±0.007</td>
<td>0.255±0.009</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>6.756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germination percentage (%)</td>
<td>61.000±5.6568</td>
<td>83.800±3.7013</td>
<td>98.000±1.0000</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>3.421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorophyll A (mg/g)</td>
<td>0.1435±0.00171</td>
<td>0.4164±0.00134</td>
<td>0.2046±0.00409</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>2.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorophyll B (mg/g)</td>
<td>0.1330±0.00276</td>
<td>0.6466±0.002510</td>
<td>0.1670±0.00374</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>1.437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotenoids (mg/g)</td>
<td>0.1152±0.00287</td>
<td>1.2060±0.00538</td>
<td>0.4952±0.05861</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>95.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total soluble sugars conc. (mg/g)</td>
<td>0.846±0.1137c</td>
<td>1.818±0.1343b</td>
<td>2.955±0.0737a</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>2.440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total proteins conc. (µg/ml)</td>
<td>0.055±0.0085c</td>
<td>0.172±0.0091a</td>
<td>0.142±0.0174b</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>6.062</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a, b, c* these letters indicated Duncan variation among different cultivation conditions.

Table 2
Primer Data analysis of RAPD-PCR bioassay with different media conditions of *Lepidium sativum*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>Primers sequence</th>
<th>GC%</th>
<th>Tm</th>
<th>Total bands</th>
<th>Total polymorphic bands</th>
<th>Polymorphism (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Deca 4</td>
<td>5’-CGTTGGCCCG-3’</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Deca 11</td>
<td>5’-ATCGGCTGGG-3’</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>55.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Deca-12</td>
<td>5’-CTTGCCCACG-3’</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Deca-13</td>
<td>5’-GTGGCAAGCC-3’</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>54.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3
Total similarity matrix resulted from growth in different conditions of *Lepidium sativum*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>On Petri-dish</th>
<th>On Peat moss</th>
<th>On MS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On Petri-dish</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>46.18</td>
<td>44.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Peat moss</td>
<td>46.18</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>69.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On MS</td>
<td>44.18</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total relations of the different morphological and physiological parameters and molecular data were gathered in the dendrogram in Figure 3.

This phylogenetic dendrogram is based on complete linkage cluster analysis and illustrates the genetic stability and distance among the different growth conditions of *L. sativum*. This proved that both plants grown in peat-moss soil and on MS medium are significantly related. At the same time, they are pretty different from one grown in Petri-dish.

4. CONCLUSION

This work manipulates the growth of *L. sativum* seeds in different growth media conditions. These conditions are seeds on petri-dish irrigated with water only, seeds germinated in pots supplemented with peat-moss-sand soil and seed germinated on tissue culture MS media. The measured morphological and physiological parameters varied in response to these different growth conditions. To increase chlorophyll pigmentation, carotenoids and protein compounds it is recommended to use peat-moss-sandy soil; to increase soluble sugars, it is recommended to use MS media culture. The genetic content was affected and varied, resulted in polymorphism percentage of 54.71%. This variation percentage could be led to variation in cultivated genotypes of *L. sativum* from India.
gene expression. This explained by reflection on morphological and physiological variation.
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