Natural Resources for Human Health
eISSN: 2583-1194

Original Research

Received 14 April 2026
Revised 21 May 2026
Accepted 25 June 2026

Natural Resources for Human Health 2026, Vol. 6 (5s): 960–970

KEYWORDS:
Wood-plastic composites, Thermoplastics, Extrusion molding, Mechanical properties, Sustainability, Compatibilizers, Structural battery composites, Recyclability, Nano-fillers, Manufacturing techniques

Advancements in Wood-Plastic Composites: Synthesis, Performance Evaluation, and Pathways to Multifunctional Innovations

Shivam[1]*, Dr. Simranpreet Singh Gill[2]

[1]*Student, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Sardar Beant Singh State University.
Email: shivamsharma110755@gmail.com.
[2]Associate Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering,
Sardar Beant Singh State University.
Email: Ritchie_223@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT: Wood-plastic composites (WPCs) represent a sustainable solution for integrating renewable fibers with polymer matrices to create durable, eco-friendly materials. This analysis examines the evolution of WPCs, focusing on their composition—typically 30-60% wood-derived fillers contained in thermoplastics such as HDPE, PP, or PVC—as well as their benefits in decreasing plastic waste and deforestation. Common polymers and their characteristics, pre-processing and production methods like extrusion and compression molding, and new techniques like selective laser sintering are all important considerations. Mechanical, thermal, and durability studies reveal increases via compatibilizers, with tensile strengths of 20-50 MPa and water absorption of less than 5%. The study also examines at future technologies, such as nano-enhanced formulations and structural battery composites (SBCs), which combine energy storage with structural integrity, and forecasts market growth of USD 11.9-13.45 billion by 2030. Interfacial compatibility and recyclability issues are addressed, emphasizing the importance of WPCs in circular economies and green engineering.

Highlights:

- WPCs combine 30-60% wood fibers with polymers such as HDPE and PP, resulting in low water absorption (<5%) and recyclability up to five times with negligible property loss.
- Manufacturing processes, including extrusion and compression molding, enhance flexural modulus by 20-50% through compatibilizers such as MAPP.
- Testing methods (ASTM/ISO standards) show improved impact resistance (15-21%) and thermal stability, with densities ranging from 0.9-1.4 g/cm³.
- Future trends suggest SBCs with energy densities of 20-50 Wh/kg, enabling self-powered structures and reducing emissions by 30-50%.
- Global market projected to reach USD 11.9-13.45 billion by 2030 at 8.6-9.8% CAGR, driven by construction and automotive applications

1. INTRODUCTION

Wood-plastic composites (WPCs) have emerged as a key component of sustainable materials manufacturing, bridging the gap between renewable natural resources and the durability of synthetic polymers. WPCs, which are defined as non-structural or semi-structural materials made by embedding wood-derived fibers—typically 30-60% by weight—into a thermoplastic matrix such as high-density polyethylene (HDPE), polypropylene (PP), or polyvinyl chloride (PVC), provide an appealing alternative to traditional lumber. Their development addresses two imperatives: reducing the environmental impact of plastic waste, which exceeds 350 million tons worldwide each year, and reducing deforestation caused by the demand for 4 billion cubic meters of wood products each year. Unlike traditional wood, which swells by 10-20% in moist conditions and succumbs to biological decay, WPCs have water absorption rates below 5%, improved fire retardancy, and recyclability, making them viable substitutes in an era of resource scarcity.

WPCs were first developed in the 1970s, with early experiments focusing on simple wood-flour-filled polyethylenes for niche industrial applications. By the 1990s, commercialization had accelerated in North America and Europe, fueled by regulatory pressures such as the US EPA's waste reduction mandates. Today, the sector reflects a maturing ecosystem: the global market, which was valued at around USD 7.97 billion in 2024, is expected to grow to USD 8.89 billion in 2025 and reach USD 11.9-13.45 billion by 2030, driven by a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 8.6-9.8%. This trend is supported by rising demand in construction (60% market share), where WPCs dominate the decking and siding segments due to their low maintenance and aesthetic versatility. Automotive and furniture applications account for another 20-25%, leveraging light weighting benefits that reduce vehicle fuel consumption by up to 10%.

At their core, WPCs take advantage of constituents' complementary strengths: wood fibers provide stiffness and biodegradability, derived from sources such as pine sawdust, palm fronds, and corn cobs, while the polymer matrix ensures process ability and weather resistance. Thermoplastics, such as HDPE, are often recycled from post-consumer bottles and cost 20-30% less than virgin resins. They also allow for extrusion or compression molding at temperatures below 200°C, preserving fiber integrity. However, the hydrophilic nature of lignocellulose fillers interferes with hydrophobic polymers, resulting in interfacial voids and reduced tensile strength (typically 20-50 MPa without modifiers). This is mitigated by compatibilizers such as maleic anhydride-grafted polypropylene (MAPP) or waste-derived coupling agents, such as automotive engine oil, which promote chemical bonding and increase flexural modulus by 20-50% and impact resistance by 15-21%.

Pre-processing procedures improve WPC performance. Mechanical grinding decreases fiber size to 200-500 µm for uniform dispersion, while chemical treatments, such as stearic acid coating on nano-calcium carbonate fillers, promote adhesion. In PP nanocomposites, treated variations displayed 30% higher rigidity. Thermal drying at 80°C eliminates hydrolysis during melt blending, and new techniques such as selective laser sintering enable 3D-printed prototypes with a 20% waste reduction. Manufacturing includes extrusion for continuous profiles (e.g., deck boards at 180-200°C), injection molding for precise components, and hot-pressing for panels, all customized to filler-matrix ratios. For outdoor benches and railings, 50:50 palm leaf-plastic mixes can achieve densities of 1.2-1.4 g/cm^3 and toughness that exceeds medium-density fiberboard (MDF).

The sustainability credentials of WPCs are strong but complicated. They value 63 million metric tons of annual wood discards in the United States alone by adding agro-wastes such as coir pith or rice straw, reducing embodied carbon by 30-50% as compared to pressure-treated lumber. Recyclability enables up to five reprocessing cycles with <10% property loss, meeting EU Circular Economy regulations. High filler loading (>50%) can increase viscosity (up to 1581 Pa·s) and complicate extrusion, while PVC-based variations may emit chlorine upon burning. Bio-based alternatives, such as polylactic acid (PLA) hybrids, promise 100% degradability but are less cost-effective.

2. COMMON USED POLYMERS

WPC fabrication has used various polymeric materials, including thermosets and thermoplastics. To prevent cellulose chain disintegration in wood fibers, polymers should be processed at temperatures below 200 ◦C. The polymers that met these requirements include HDPE, LDPE, PVC, PP, PVC, ABS, and PS. HDPE's chemical resistance makes it suitable for packaging detergents, acids, margarine, and milk. LDPE is used in heat sealing applications because of its low melting point. Its excellent electrical properties make it suitable for use in cable and wire applications. HDPE boasts a high strength-to-density ratio and high specific strength. It's harder than LDPE. HDPE is highly resistant to various solvents. However, when heated, PVC emits hazardous fumes. PP is utilized in packing applications that are subjected to high temperatures due to its high melting point. It is also used to package yogurt, medication and ketchup bottles, and as the exterior shell of automotive batteries. ABS offers great abrasion and chemical resistance, as well as high strength and stiffness. It is also easy to mold, machine, and paint, and has reasonable dimensional stability. PS's exceptional mechanical and physical features, such as low density, high rigidity, and great thermal insulation, make it an excellent choice for food and pharmaceutical packaging applications. Other plastics, including PBS, PC, PES, PET, PF, PHBV, PLA, PPC, and UPE, have been utilized as matrix in WPCs, resulting in improved mechanical and thermos-physical properties.

Nomenclature: ABS (Acrylonitrile butadiene styrene), HDPE (High density polyethylene), LDPE (Low-density polyethylene), LLDPE (Linear low-density polyethylene), MAPE (Maleated polyethylene), PBS (Polybutylene succinate), PC (Polycarbonate), PE (Polyethylene), PES (Polyether sulfone), PET (Polyethylene terephthalate), PF (Phenol formaldehyde), PHBV (Poly(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate), PLA (Polylactide), PP (Polypropylene), PPC (Poly(propylene carbonate)), PS (Polystyrene), PVC (Polyvinyl chloride), UPE (Unsaturated Polyester).

3. MIXING AND MANUFACTURING TECHNIQUES

3.1 Material Composition and Pre-Processing Strategies

At the heart of WPC production is the careful selection and preparation of ingredients. The binding structure is provided by a polymer matrix, which is often made of thermoplastics such as polyethylene (HDPE/LDPE), polypropylene (PP), or polyvinyl chloride (PVC), while fillers such as pine sawdust, palm fronds, or rice husks add rigidity and lower materials costs. Pre-treatments are essential for reducing incompatibilities: mechanical grinding reduces particle size for improved dispersion, chemical coupling (e.g., silane or titanate agents) modifies fiber surfaces to enhance wetting, and thermal drying inhibits hydrolysis during melting. For example, treating fillers with stearic acid has been demonstrated to increase tensile modulus in PP-based systems by up to 30% while reducing agglomeration.

Recycled inputs contribute to sustainability; research on post-consumer HDPE from milk bottles show mechanical equivalent to virgin resins, with additional economic benefits (20-30% cost reductions). Hybrid fillers, which combine wood with agro-wastes such as coir pith or maize cob, further diversify properties—corn cob improves impact resistance due to its fiber microstructure, whilst coir pith reduces density in lightweight panels. Plasticizers (e.g., di-isononyl phthalate in PVC) and lubricants (e.g., paraffin wax) are typically added at 1-5% to improve flow while maintaining integrity.

3.2 Manufacturing Techniques: Focus on Material Mixing

WPC manufacture uses a variety of technologies, ranging from traditional extrusion to developing additive procedures, each of which influences the final microstructure and performance. The foundational stage is mixing, which involves dry-blending or melt-compounding to assure homogeneity and, in some cases, breaking fiber bundles under shear. Extrusion is preferred for continuous profiles, whilst compression is best suited to batch panel manufacture. Below is an enlarged tabular description of major strategies, with examples from several formulations to demonstrate variability.

These processes demonstrate WPCs' versatility; for example, extrusion prefers high-volume outside lumber, whereas hot-pressing excels in bio-insulation boards. Rheological adjustment during mixing, using plastographs to measure torque, assures process ability, with viscosities increasing by 50-100% as filler levels rise.

Table 3.1 Different types of manufacturing techniques.

Technique	Primary Materials and Ratios	Detailed Mixing Protocol	Equipment and Parameters	Advantages/Limitations	Representative Outcomes
Extrusion Molding	- Filler: 30–50% wood flour (e.g., Pinus radiata sawdust) - Matrix: 50–70% recycled/virgin HDPE or PP - Additives: 2–5% maleic anhydride PP (MAPP) as coupler, 1% lubricant (zinc	- Pre-dry fillers at 80°C for 4 hours - Gravimetric blending in high-speed mixer (500 rpm, 5 min) - Feed into twin-screw extruder for melt-kneading	Twin-screw extruder (e.g., Haake 90); zones: feed (150°C), compression (180°C), metering (200°C), die (190°C); screw speed 100–200 rpm	High throughput for long profiles; uniform dispersion Limitation: Sensitive to moisture, risking voids	Panels with 40% filler show flexural modulus ~3 GPa; water absorption <2% after 24-hour soak

	stearate)				
Compression Molding	- Filler: 20–50% hybridized powders (e.g., 50% wood + 25% corn cob + 25% coir pith) - Matrix: 20–40% PVC resin + hardener (PPA) - Additives: None or 1–2% stearates	- Manual or mechanical sieving to <500 µm particle size - Proportionate weighing and tumble mixing (10 min) - Layer into mold; apply pressure post-heating	Hydraulic press; preheat 120–150°C (10 min), press 5–10 MPa (8 hours cure at 180°C)	Simple for prototypes; good for thick panels Limitation: Labor-intensive, uneven curing possible	Tensile strength peaks at equal wood:corn ratios (~25 MPa); impact energy 15–20% higher than pure wood composites
Injection Molding	- Filler: 40–50% pine wood flour - Matrix: 50–60% recycled PP (rPP) or virgin PP (vPP) - Additives: 3–5% MAPP coupler	- Pelletize pre-blended dry mix (wood:polymer 1:1 base) - High-shear compounding (300 rpm, 190°C) - Inject molten blend	Injection molder (e.g., Arburg); barrel temps 180–220°C, mold 40°C, injection pressure 80–120 MPa	Precision for small parts; fast cycles Limitation: High shear may degrade fibers	Melt flow index 5–15 g/10 min; flexural strength 40–60 MPa with 5% MAPP
Hot-Press with Coupling	- Filler: 30–40% sawdust or palm leaves - Matrix: 54–64% LDPE - Additives: 3% waste engine oil (as coupler), 3% zinc stearate	- Soak fillers in oil solution (24 hours) - Blend in planetary mixer (50 rpm, 15 min) - Spread evenly in frame; hot-press	Flat-bed press; 160–190°C, 4–6 MPa (20–30 min dwell)	Improves adhesion via oil; eco-use of waste Limitation: Oil volatility at high temps	Density 0.8–1.2 g/cm³; thermal conductivity drops 20% with 40% filler
Advanced: Selective Laser Sintering (SLS)	- Filler: 10–30% nano-CaCO3-treated wood particles - Matrix: 70–90% PP powder - Additives: 1–2% silane/titanate	- Surface-treat fillers with acids (stearic/oleic, 2% loading) - Powder-bed layering and laser fusion	SLS printer; laser power 20–50 W, bed temp 100–150°C, layer thickness 0.1 mm	Additive for complex geometries; minimal waste Limitation: Costly for large-scale	Morphology shows 15–25% better dispersion; tensile modulus up 30% vs. untreated

4. TESTING METHODS AND INSIGHTS

WPC performance is validated through rigorous testing, which includes mechanical, thermal, physical, and durability tests according to standards such as ASTM or ISO. Mechanical tests measure load bearing capacity, thermal evaluations investigate heat management, and environmental simulations forecast longevity. Coupling agents routinely improve outcomes, such as lowering water intake by 40-60% via improved fiber encapsulation. The table below summarizes common methodologies, along with procedural peculiarities and interpretive benchmarks.

Table 4.1 Testing Methods

Property Category	Specific Test	Standardized Method/Procedure	Instrumentation	Influencing Factors	Observed Trends/Quantitative Benchmarks
Mechanical: Tensile/Flexural	Tensile/ Three-Point Bending	ASTM D638/D790: Clamp specimens, load at 5 mm/min until failure; calculate modulus from stress-strain curve	Electromechanical universal tester, load cell 1–5 kN	Filler ratio, coupler presence	Modulus 1–4 GPa (rises 20–50% with 3–5% MAPP); elongation 2–10% (drops with >40% filler)
Mechanical: Impact	Notched Izod/Charpy	ASTM D256: Pendulum strike on V-notched bar, measure absorbed energy	Impact pendulum, 2–15 J capacity	Surface treatment	Energy 20–50 J/m; 15–21% uplift at 8% treated nano-filler vs. untreated
Physical: Density/Water Absorption	Immersion/Gravimetric	ASTM D570/D792: Submerge 24–48 hours at 23°C; dry-weigh differences; density via Archimedes	Analytical balance (0.001 g precision); water bath	Particle size (<500 µm optimal), hydrophobization	Density 0.9–1.4 g/cm³; absorption 1–6% (half of MDF's 10–12%)
Thermal: Conductivity/Diffusivity	Transient Plane Source	ISO 22007-2: Sensor sandwiched between samples; heat pulse (1–20 s); fit to model for λ, α	Hot disk TPS 2500; probe power 0.1–2 W	Density, porosity	λ 0.08–0.20 W/m·K (decreases 10–30% with bio-fillers); α 0.2–0.5 mm²/s
Thermal: Stability/Transition	Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)/ DSC	ASTM E1131/E1269: Ramp 10–20°C/min to 600°C under N_2; track mass loss/heat flow	TGA/DSC, 5–10 mg sample	Plasticizer	Decomposition onset 250–350°C; Tg shifts 20–50°C lower with 30% wood
Dynamic: Viscoelasticity	DMTA	ASTM E1640: Oscillatory shear (1 Hz, -50 to 150°C ramp)	DMTA analyzer, dual cantilever fixture	Temperature, filler content	Storage modulus 1–10 GPa; tan δ peak sharpens, indicating better damping
Durability: Burning/Weathering	Linear Burn Rate/Xenon Arc	ASTM D635/E1081: Ignite edge, measure flame travel; expose to UV cycles	Horizontal burn chamber; QUV accelerated tester (300 h)	Halogenated matrices (PVC)	Burn rate 10–30 mm/min, color fade minimal with stabilizers
Microstructural: Dispersion	Scanning Electron	Sample fracture, gold-sputter coat; 5–	SEM Secondary Eectron Mode	Coupling agent type	Uniform filler distribution; voids reduced 50% with

	Microscopy (SEM)	20 kV imaging			treated surfaces

Testing indicates subtle interactions: for PVC-wood blends, 50 phr filler increases modulus from 18 to 274 MPa while quadrupling viscosity, demanding balanced formulations. Bio-susceptibility tests (e.g., mold/termite exposure) show that WPCs outperform untreated wood, with mass loss of less than 5% after 28 days.

5. FUTURISTIC MATERIAL FOR STUDY

Looking ahead, WPCs are expected to increase dramatically, reaching a $11.9 billion industry by 2028, driven by regulatory pushes for green building (e.g., the EU's Circular Economy Action Plan) and bio-resin advancements. Nano-enhanced fillers (e.g., CaCO3 nanoparticles) for 20-40% property increases, totally biodegradable matrices made from PLA/PBAT hybrids, and 3D-printable formulations for unique structures via SLS are among the emerging developments. Integration with smart sensors may result in self-monitoring panels for energy-efficient facades. Scalability and fire retardancy challenges remain, but AI-optimized mixing (predicting rheology through simulations) and closed-loop recycling—reprocessing. WPCs may withstand up to five cycles with less than 10% deterioration, indicating high resilience. Ultimately, WPCs could redefine sustainable manufacturing by reducing embodied carbon by 30-50% while boosting rural economies through agro-waste valorization. To realize their full potential, research gaps such as long-term outdoor aging in tropical regions require further investigation.

The need for futuristic materials in wood-plastic composites (WPCs) and other areas of sustainable engineering signals a watershed moment in the evolution of multifunctional, environmentally friendly advancements. Based on the foundational WPC studies—spanning palm leaf-plastic hybrids, sawdust-LDPE panels, nano-CaCO3-filled polypropylenes, recycled HDPE stability, mechanical evaluations of PP-wood flour, plasticized PVC-wood blends, pre-processing reviews, thermal bio-panel investigations, and corn cob-coir pith integrations—this analysis identifies structural battery composites (SBCs) as a standout candidate for further study. SBCs represent the next frontier: materials that not only replicate the renewability of wood and the adaptability of plastic, but also incorporate energy storage, allowing for self-powered constructions. This assessment delves into the mechanics of SBCs, their synergy with WPCs, upcoming trends, and rigorous research routes, all supported by market estimates and technology blueprints for 2025. It includes global estimates, such as the WPC sector's growth to $12 billion by 2032 at a 6.5% CAGR, fueled by bio-hybrids and recyclability requirements.

WPCs, as documented in the offered corpus, have progressed from waste-recycling proofs (e.g., 50:50 palm-plastic extrusions with 1-5% water absorption) to performance-tuned versions (e.g., MAPP-coupled recycled PP with 40-60 MPa flexural strength). However, their progress necessitates "futuristic" leaps—materials that solve energy demands while also ensuring sustainability. According to the World Economic Forum's 2025 Top 10 Emerging Technologies, SBCs are composites that double as batteries: carbon fiber-epoxy matrices loaded with lithium-ion electrolytes, capable of storing 20-50 Wh/kg while carrying loads of up to 200 MPa. Unlike static WPCs, SBCs dynamically contribute to energy ecosystems, reducing vehicle weight by 15-30% in EV prototypes.

5.1 CORE ATTRIBUTES AND INNOVATIONS IN SBC'S

SBCs outperform conventional composites by incorporating electrochemical functionality into structural fibers. Key features include:

Multifunctionality: They offer mechanical stiffness (modulus 50-100 GPa), electrical conductivity (via carbon nanotubes), and energy density (up to 40% of traditional batteries) in a lightweight form (<2 g/cm³). **Fabrication Advancement:** 3D printing allows for customizable microstructures that optimize electrolyte infiltration. For example, Airbus's VARMINT project combines wood-like bio-fibers with solid-state electrolytes, resulting in 25% efficiency gains.

Sustainability Edge: Bio-based versions replace carbon fiber with lignin-derived reinforcements from agro-wastes, similar to corn cob WPCs. This reduces production emissions by 40% and allows for 80% recycling by solvolysis.

Challenges remain, including interfacial degradation in humid settings (which mirrors WPC water uptake issues) and safety (e.g., thermal runaway dangers), although 2025 trials suggest mitigation by self-healing polymers, extending cycle life to 1,000+ charges.

Global scans reveal SBCs within larger waves, while WPCs transition to "smart" hybrids. The table below outlines the key trends from 2025 assessments, focusing on sustainability and WPC relevance.

Table 5.1 Futuristic Tend

Trend	Description	WPC Link	Projected Impact (2025–2030)	Example Innovator
Biomaterials	Natural fiber-polymer blends for biodegradability and strength.	Wood-flour hybrids with cellulose nanofibers.	25% market share in eco-panels; reduces plastic use by 40%.	Strong by Form: Wood flow tech for lightweight bio-composites.
Circular Economy	Recyclable designs via chemical recycling of wastes.	Reprocessing corn cob-coir WPCs into loops.	$11.9B WPC growth; 50% waste diversion.	Continuum: Wind blade upcycling for composites.
Nanocomposites	Nanoparticles for self-healing and conductivity.	Nano-CaCO3 in PP extended to SBC electrolytes.	30% property gains; enables multifunctional WPCs.	TS-Nano: Emission-reducing polymer nanocomposites.
Additive Manufacturing	3D printing for complex, customized structures.	Extrusion-molded WPC profiles via fiber layering.	20% cost drop; custom SBC panels.	Sphere Cube: Continuous-fiber 3D printing.
Intelligent Design	AI/ML for optimizing fiber-matrix interfaces.	Simulating MAPP effects in recycled HDPE.	15–20% efficiency in thermal modeling (e.g., decrement factors).	Paper Shell: Industry 4.0 natural fiber composites.
Light Weighting	High strength-to-weight for EVs/aerospace.	Sawdust-LDPE density tuning (0.8–1.2 g/cm³).	10–15% fuel savings; SBC-EV integration.	Datum: Nano-additive fiber systems.
High-Performance Resins	UV-resistant, fast-cure adhesives.	Plasticized PVC enhancements for outdoor durability.	Extends WPC lifespan 2x; bio-resin SBCs.	Speed Pox: Non-toxic epoxy composites.
Carbon Fiber Hybrids	Thermal/electrical boosts with low emissions.	Graphene in palm-plastic for conductivity.	Aerospace adoption; 6.5% CAGR in advanced WPCs.	Eddy tech: Defect-sensing carbon composites.
Smart Metal Composites	Shape-memory for adaptive structures.	Hybrid with coir pith for flexible panels.	Resilient infrastructure; energy-harvesting WPCs.	Armory Technologies: Recyclable origami composites.
Bio-Based SBCs	Energy-storing structural elements from renewables.	Wood-derived matrices with electrolytes.	24 Wh/kg density; 30–50% carbon reduction.	Airbus VARMINT: Aircraft SBC prototypes.

These trends forecast WPCs' fusion with SBCs, projecting 10–15% CAGR amid regulations like the EU's Circular Economy Plan.

REFERENCES

[1] Abu-Okail, M., Alsaleh, N.A., Farouk, W.M., Elsheikh, A., Abu-Oqail, A., Abdelraouf, Y. A., Ghafaar, M.A., 2021. Effect of dispersion of alumina nanoparticles and graphene nanoplatelets on microstructural and mechanical characteristics of hybrid carbon/ glass fibers reinforced polymer composite. J. Mater. Res. Technol. 14, 2624–2637.

[2] Adhikary, K.B., Pang, S., Staiger, M.P., 2008. Dimensional stability and mechanical behaviour of wood–plastic composites based on recycled and virgin high-density polyethylene (HDPE). Compos. B Eng. 39 (5), 807–815.

[3] Animpong, M.A.B., Oduro, W.O., Koranteng, J., Ampomah-Benefo, K., Boafo-Mensah, G., Akufo-Kumi, K., Tottimeh, G.O., Amoah, J.Y., 2017. Coupling effect of waste automotive engine oil in the preparation of wood reinforced LDPE plastic composites for panels. S. Afr. J. Chem. Eng. 24, 55–61.

[4] Basalp, D., Tihminlioglu, F., Sofuoglu, S.C., Inal, F., Sofuoglu, A., 2020. Utilization of municipal plastic and wood waste in industrial manufacturing of wood plastic composites. Waste Biomass Valor. 11, 5419–5430.

[5] Bernatas, R., Dagreou, S., Despax-Ferreres, A., Barasinski, A., 2021. Recycling of fiber reinforced composites with a focus on thermoplastic composites. Clean. Eng. Technol. 5, 100272.

[6] Bhattacharjee, S., Bajwa, D.S., 2018. Degradation in the mechanical and thermomechanical properties of natural fiber filled polymer composites due to recycling. Construct. Build. Mater. 172, 1–9.

[7] Binhussain, M.A., El-Tonsy, M.M., 2013. Palm leave and plastic waste wood composite for out-door structures. Construct. Build. Mater. 47, 1431–1435.

[8] Bouhamed, N., Souissi, S., Marechal, P., Amar, M.B., Lenoir, O., Leger, R., Bergeret, A., 2020. Ultrasound evaluation of the mechanical properties as an investigation tool for the wood-polymer composites including olive wood flour. Mech. Mater. 148, 103445.

[9] Chan, C.M., Pratt, S., Halley, P., Richardson, D., Werker, A., Laycock, B., Vandi, L.-J., 2019. Mechanical and physical stability of polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA)-based wood plastic composites (WPCs) under natural weathering. Polym. Test. 73, 214–221.

[10] Das, P.P., Chaudhary, V., 2021. Moving towards the era of bio fibre based polymer composites. Clean. Eng. Technol. 4, 100182.

[11] El-Kassas, A.M., Elsheikh, A.H., 2020. A new eco-friendly mechanical technique for production of rice straw fibers for medium density fiberboards manufacturing. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol. 18, 979–988.

[12] Elamin, M.A.M., Li, S.X., Osman, Z.A., Otitoju, T.A., 2020. Preparation and characterization of wood-plastic composite by utilizing a hybrid compatibilizer system. Ind. Crop. Prod. 154, 112659.

[13] Elsheikh, A.H., Deng, W., Showaib, E.A., 2020. Improving laser cutting quality of polymethylmethacrylate sheet: experimental investigation and optimization. J. Mater. Res. Technol. 9 (2), 1325–1339.

[14] Elsheikh, A.H., Shehabeldeen, T.A., Zhou, J., Showaib, E.A., Abd Elaziz, M., 2021b. Prediction of laser cutting parameters for polymethylmethacrylate sheets using random vector functional link network integrated with equilibrium optimizer. J. Intell. Manuf. 32 (5), 1377–1388.

[15] Erchiqui, F., Kaddami, H., Dituba-Ngoma, G., Slaoui-Hasnaoui, F., 2020. Comparative study of the use of infrared and microwave heating modes for the thermoforming of wood-plastic composite sheets. Int. J. Heat Mass Tran. 158, 119996.

[16] Gacitua, W., Bahr, D., Wolcott, M., 2010. Damage of the cell wall during extrusion and injection molding of wood plastic composites. Compos. Appl. Sci. Manuf. 41 (10), 1454–1460.

[17] Gardner, D.J., Han, Y., Wang, L., 2015. Wood–plastic composite technology. Curr. Forest. Rep. 1 (3), 139–150.

[18] Gibier, M., Lacoste, C., Corn, S., Pucci, M.F., Tran, Q.K., Haurie, L., Sonnier, R., 2020. Flame retardancy of wood-plastic

composites by radiation-curing phosphoruscontaining resins. Radiat. Phys. Chem. 170, 108547.

[19] Haque, M.M.-U., Goda, K., Ito, H., Ogoe, S., Okamot, M., Ema, T., Kagawa, K., Nogami, H., 2019a. Melt-viscosity and mechanical behaviour of polypropylene (PP)/ wood flour composites: effect of pulverization of wood flour with and without water. Adv. Industr. Eng. Polym. Res. 2 (1), 42–50.

[20] Haque, M.M.-U., Goda, K., Ogoe, S., Sunaga, Y., 2019b. Fatigue analysis and fatigue reliability of polypropylene/wood flour composites. Adv. Industr. Eng. Polym. Res. 2 (3), 136–142.

[21] Hosseinaei, O, Wang, S, Taylor, A.M., Kim, J.-W., 2012. Effect of hemicellulose extraction on water absorption and mold susceptibility of wood–plastic composites. Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad. 71, 29–35.

[22] Imken, A.A.P., Brischke, C, Kogel, S., Krause, K.C., Mai, C., 2020. Resistance of different wood-based materials against mould fungi: a comparison of methods. Eur. J. Wood Wood Prod. 78 (4), 661–671.

[23] Jamekhorshid, A., Sadrameli, S.M., Barzin, R., Farid, M.M., 2017. Composite of woodplastic and micro-encapsulated phase change material (MEPCM) used for thermal energy storage. Appl. Therm. Eng. 112, 82–88.

[24] Jiang, L., Fu, J., He, C., 2020. Reliability analysis of wood-plastic composites in simulated seawater conditions: effect of iron oxide pigments. J. Build. Eng. 31, 101318.

[25] Jiang, T., Zeng, G., 2019. An online extrusion-compression molding method to produce wood plastic composite packaging boxes. Fibers Polym. 20 (4), 804–810.

[26] Kain, S., Ecker, J., Haider, A., Musso, M., Petutschnigg, A., 2020. Effects of the infill pattern on mechanical properties of fused layer modeling (FLM) 3D printed wood/ polylactic acid (PLA) composites. Eur. J. Wood Wood Prod. 78 (1), 65–74.

[27] Kairyt e, A., Kremensas, A., Bal ci unas, G., Matulaitien e, I., Członka, S., Sienkiewicz, N., 2020. Evaluation of self-thermally treated wood plastic composites from wood bark and rapeseed oil-based binder. Construct. Build. Mater. 250, 118842.

[28] Kamal, M., Sharma, C.S., Upadhyaya, P., Verma, V., Pandey, K.N., Kumar, V., Agrawal, D.D., 2012. Calcium carbonate (CaCO3) nanoparticle filled polypropylene: effect of particle surface treatment on mechanical, thermal, and morphological performance of composites. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 124 (4), 2649–2656.

[29] Kazemi Najafi, S., 2013. Use of recycled plastics in wood plastic composites – a review. Waste Manag. 33 (9), 1898–1905.

[30] Keskisaari, A., K¨arki, T., 2018. The use of waste materials in wood-plastic composites and their impact on the profitability of the product. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 134, 257–261.

[31] Koohestani, B., Ganetri, I., Yilmaz, E., 2017. Effects of silane modified minerals on mechanical, microstructural, thermal, and rheological properties of wood plastic composites. Compos. B Eng. 111, 103–111.

[32] Kuo, P.-Y., Wang, S.-Y., Chen, J.-H., Hsueh, H.-C., Tsai, M.-J., 2009. Effects of material compositions on the mechanical properties of wood–plastic composites manufactured by injection molding. Mater. Des. 30 (9), 3489–3496.

[33] Lazrak, C., Kabouchi, B., Hammi, M., Famiri, A., Ziani, M., 2019. Structural study of maritime pine wood and recycled high-density polyethylene (HDPEr) plastic composite using Infrared-ATR spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction, SEM and contact angle measurements. Case Stud. Constr. Mater. 10, e00227.

[34] Li, X., Lei, B., Lin, Z., Huang, L., Tan, S., Cai, X., 2014. The utilization of bamboo charcoal enhances wood plastic composites with excellent mechanical and thermal properties. Mater. Des. 53, 419–424.

[35] Lin, X., Zhang, Z., Wang, Q., 2019. Evaluation of zeolite catalysts on product distribution and synergy during wood-plastic composite catalytic pyrolysis. Energy 189, 116174.

[36] Lin, X., Zhang, Z., Zhang, Z., Sun, J., Wang, Q., Pittman, C.U., 2018. Catalytic fast pyrolysis of a wood-plastic composite with metal oxides as catalysts. Waste Manag. 79, 38–47.

[37] Mankowski, M., Morrell, J.J., 2007. Patterns of fungal attack in wood-plastic composites following exposure in a soil block test. Wood Fiber Sci. 32 (3), 340–345.

[38] Martins, G., Antunes, F., Mateus, A., Malça, C., 2017. Optimization of a wood plastic composite for architectural applications. Procedia Manuf. 12, 203–220.

[39] N¨ornberg, B., Borchardt, E., Luinstra, G.A., Fromm, J., 2014. Wood plastic composites from poly(propylene carbonate) and poplar wood flour – mechanical, thermal and morphological properties. Eur. Polym. J. 51, 167–176.

[40] Palm, A., Smith, J., Driscoll, M., Smith, L., Scott Larsen, L., 2016. Chemical constituent influence on ionizing radiation treatment of a wood–plastic composite. Radiat. Phys. Chem. 124, 164–168.

[41] Petchwattana, N., Covavisaruch, S., Sanetuntikul, J., 2012. Recycling of wood–plastic composites prepared from poly(vinyl chloride) and wood flour. Construct. Build. Mater. 28 (1), 557–560.

[42] Raj, S.S., Kannan, T.K., Kathiresan, M., Balachandar, K., Krishnakumar, S., 2020. Why not stir casting for polymer composites? Investigations on poly lactic acid based wood plastic composite. Mater. Today Proc. 45, 862–868.

[43] Ramesh, R.S., Sadashivappa, K., Sharanaprabhu, L., 2018. Physical and mechanical properties: hot pressed phenol formaldehyde based wood plastic composite. Mater. Today Proc. 5 (11, Part 3), 25331–25340.

[44] Ramírez-Vargas, E., Navarro-Rodríguez, D., Blanqueto-Menchaca, A.I., Huerta-Martínez, B.M., Palacios-Mezta, M., 2004. Degradation effects on the rheological and mechanical properties of multi-extruded blends of impact-modified polypropylene and poly(ethylene-co-vinyl acetate). Polym. Degrad. Stabil. 86, 301–307.

[45] Ratanawilai, T., Taneerat, K., 2018. Alternative polymeric matrices for wood-plastic composites: effects on mechanical properties and resistance to natural weathering. Construct. Build. Mater. 172, 349–357.

[46] Santoni, A., Bonfiglio, P., Mollica, F., Fausti, P., Pompoli, F., Mazzanti, V., 2018. Vibroacoustic optimisation of wood plastic composite systems. Construct. Build. Mater. 174, 730–740.

[47] Shahi, P., Behravesh, A., Daryabari, S.Y., Lotfi, M., 2012. Experimental investigation on reprocessing of extruded wood flour/HDPE composites. Polym. Compos. 33, 753–763.

[48] Siddikur Rahman, K., Islam, M.N., Ratul, S.B., Dana, N.H., Musa, S.M., Hannan, M.O., 2018. Properties of flat-pressed wood plastic composites as a function of particle size and mixing ratio. J. Wood Sci. 64 (3), 279–286.

[49] Sommerhuber, P.F., Wang, T., Krause, A., 2016. Wood–plastic composites as potential applications of recycled plastics of electronic waste and recycled particleboard. J. Clean. Prod. 121, 176–185.

[50] Sommerhuber, P.F., Wenker, J.L., Rüter, S., Krause, A., 2017. Life cycle assessment of wood-plastic composites: analysing alternative materials and identifying an environmental sound end-of-life option. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 117, 235–248.

[51] Sun, Y., Guo, L., Liu, Y., Wang, W., Song, Y., 2019. Glue wood veneer to woodfiber–high-density-polyethylene composite. Int. J. Adhesion Adhes. 95, 102444.

[52] Tascioglu, C., Umemura, K., Yoshimura, T., 2018. Seventh-year durability evaluation of zinc borate incorporated wood-plastic composites and particleboard. Compos. B Eng. 137, 123–128.

[53] Taufiq, M.J., Mansor, M.R., Mustafa, Z., 2018. Characterization of wood plastic composite manufactured from kenaf fibre reinforced recycled-unused plastic blend. Compos. Struct. 189, 510–515.

[54] Vanapalli, K.R., Bhattacharya, J., Samal, B., Chandra, S., Medha, I., Dubey, B.K., 2021. Optimized production of single-use plastic-Eucalyptus wood char composite for application in soil. J. Clean. Prod. 278, 123968.

[55] Vedrtnam, A., Kumar, S., Chaturvedi, S., 2019. Experimental study on mechanical behavior, biodegradability, and resistance to natural weathering and ultraviolet radiation of wood-plastic composites. Compos. B Eng. 176, 107282.

[56] Wang, X., Sotoudehniakarani, F., Yu, Z., Morrell, J.J., Cappellazzi, J., McDonald, A.G., 2019. Evaluation of corrugated cardboard biochar as reinforcing fiber on properties, biodegradability and weatherability of wood-plastic composites. Polym. Degrad. Stabil. 168, 108955.

[57] Yang, X., Tang, X., Ma, L., Sun, Y., 2019. Sound insulation performance of structural wood wall integrated with wood plastic composite. J. Bioresourc. Bioprod. 4 (2), 111–118.

[58] Youssef, A.M., Hasanin, M.S., Abd El-Aziz, M.E., Darwesh, O.M., 2019. Green, economic, and partially biodegradable wood plastic composites via enzymatic surface modification of lignocellulosic fibers. Heliyon 5 (3), e01332.

[59] Zhang, Q., Cai, H., Yang, K., Yi, W., 2017a. Effect of biochar on mechanical and flame retardant properties of wood – plastic composites. Results Phys. 7, 2391–2395.

[60] Zhou, Y., Stanchev, P., Katsou, E., Awad, S., Fan, M., 2019a. A circular economy use of recovered sludge cellulose in wood plastic composite production: recycling and ecoefficiency assessment. Waste Manag. 99, 42–48.

[61] Zhou, Y., Wang, Y., Fan, M., 2019b. Incorporation of tyre rubber into wood plastic composites to develop novel multifunctional composites: interface and bonding mechanisms. Ind. Crop. Prod. 141, 111788.

[62] Zhu, Y.D., Allen, G.C., Jones, P.G., Adams, J.M., Gittins, D.I., Heard, P.J., Skuse, D.R., 2014. Dispersion characterisation of CaCO3 particles in PP/CaCO3 composites. Compos. Appl. Sci. Manuf. 60, 38–43.