Natural Resources for Human Health
eISSN: 2583-1194

Original Research

Received 18 Oct 2025
Revised 22 Nov 2025
Accepted 30 Dec 2025

Natural Resources for Human Health 2025, Vol. 5 (4): 1007–1012

KEYWORDS:
Nurse-led oral hygiene, ventilator-associated pneumonia, VAP prevention, oral care protocols, bacterial colonization

The Impact of Nurse-Led Oral Hygiene Protocols on Preventing Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia

Amal Moneif Maaleth Alreshidi[1], Amal Obaidallah Obaid Alrashidi[2], Tahani Masad Abed Alrashidi[3], Faizah Fudhy H Reshidi[4], Hamidah Rashed Abdullah Alrashedi[5], Salihah Yaseen Ali Alharthi[6], Ashjan Ali Albishi[7], Azizah Freh D Alreshedi[8], Hala Shara Jarboo Alzamil[9], Salha Mubarak Mohammad Alshahrani[10]

[1] Nurse – Hail General Hospital – Hail Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Hail – Hail Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: ammalrashede@moh.gov.sa
[2] Nursing Specialist – Hail General Hospital – Hail Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Hail – Hail Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: amoalrashidi@moh.gov.sa
[3] Nursing Technician – Al-Barakah Primary Health Care Center – Hail Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Hail – Hail Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: Talrshyde@moh.gov.sa
[4] Nursing Technician – Al-Barakah Primary Health Care Center – Hail Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Hail – Hail Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: frashidi@moh.gov.sa
[5] Nursing Specialist – Al-Barakah Primary Health Care Center – Hail Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Hail – Hail Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: Hralrashedi@moh.gov.sa
[6] Nursing Technician – East Jeddah General Hospital – Ministry of Health – Jeddah – Makkah Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: Saloha20155@gmail.com
[7] Nursing Supervisor – Al Thager Hospital – Ministry of Health – Jeddah – Makkah Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: asalbeeshi@moh.gov.sa
[8] Nursing Technician – Al-Barakah Primary Health Care Center – Hail Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Hail – Hail Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: azalrashidi@moh.gov.sa
[9] Nursing Technician – Tandahah Al-Zalal Primary Health Care Center – Asir Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Khamis Mushait – Asir Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: halzamel@moh.gov.sa
[10] Nursing Technician – Shobaa Primary Health Care Center – Asir Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Khamis Mushait – Asir Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: Salehama@moh.gov.sa

ABSTRACT: Nurse-led oral hygiene protocols have emerged as a critical intervention in preventing ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP), a serious complication that can affect patients receiving mechanical ventilation. By implementing systematic oral care routines, nurses can significantly reduce the bacterial colonization of the oral cavity, which is a key

contributor to the development of VAP. Research has shown that regular oral hygiene practices, such as tooth brushing, oral rinsing, and application of antiseptic agents, can effectively decrease plaque accumulation and limit the growth of pathogenic microorganisms. This proactive approach not only enhances the overall quality of care but also plays a vital role in safeguarding the respiratory health of critically ill patients. Moreover, the implementation of nurse-led oral hygiene protocols has proven beneficial in terms of cost-effectiveness and patient outcomes. By minimizing the incidence of VAP, healthcare facilities can significantly reduce the length of hospital stays and the associated costs of treatment for this preventable condition. Consistent oral care fosters improved patient comfort and satisfaction while contributing to better respiratory outcomes. Furthermore, these protocols align with the evolving role of nurses as key players in infection control and prevention strategies within critical care settings, emphasizing the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration in enhancing patient safety and promoting best practices in healthcare.

INTRODUCTION

Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) remains one of the most common and serious healthcare-associated infections in intensive care units (ICUs) worldwide, contributing substantially to patient morbidity, mortality, prolonged mechanical ventilation, and increased healthcare costs [1]. Despite advances in critical care, the incidence of VAP continues to pose a significant challenge, particularly in resource-limited settings where infection control practices may be inconsistent [2]. The pathogenesis of VAP is closely linked to the aspiration of colonized oropharyngeal secretions, and dental plaque has been identified as a critical reservoir for respiratory pathogens in mechanically ventilated patients [3]. Consequently, oral hygiene has emerged as a fundamental preventive strategy, and nurse-led oral care protocols have gained prominence as a feasible, cost-effective, and evidence-based approach to reducing VAP rates [4].

The role of nurses in preventing VAP is pivotal, as they are at the forefront of direct patient care and are responsible for implementing oral hygiene interventions on a daily basis [5]. However, the translation of evidence into consistent clinical practice remains variable, and many ICUs lack standardized oral care protocols [6].

VAP is defined as pneumonia occurring in patients who have been mechanically ventilated for at least 48 hours, and it is neither present nor incubating at the time of intubation [7]. The estimated incidence ranges from 5 to 20 cases per 1000 ventilator days, with significant variations across different patient populations and healthcare settings [8]. The economic burden is considerable, with each episode of VAP adding tens of thousands of dollars to hospital costs and extending ICU length of stay by several days [9].

The development of VAP involves a complex interplay of microbial colonization, host defense impairment, and mechanical factors associated with endotracheal intubation [10]. The endotracheal tube bypasses natural defense mechanisms such as the cough reflex and mucociliary clearance, facilitating the direct entry of pathogens into the lower respiratory tract [11]. Dental plaque serves as a persistent reservoir for pathogenic bacteria, including Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus, and enteric Gram-negative bacilli, which can be aspirated into the lungs [12]. The formation of biofilms on the endotracheal tube surface further complicates eradication and contributes to the persistence of infection [13]. Therefore, effective oral hygiene aimed at reducing plaque burden and microbial colonization is a logical and essential component of VAP prevention bundles [14].

Components of Nurse-Led Oral Hygiene Protocols

Nurse-led oral hygiene protocols typically encompass a structured set of interventions performed at regular intervals, usually every 4 to 6 hours, and include mechanical cleaning, antimicrobial agents, and monitoring of oral health status [15]. Mechanical cleaning involves toothbrushing with a soft-bristled brush to disrupt dental plaque, followed by suctioning of secretions to prevent aspiration [16]. Chlorhexidine gluconate (0.12% or 0.2%) has been widely used as an adjunctive antimicrobial rinse, although its efficacy in preventing VAP has been debated, particularly in recent meta-analyses that suggest limited benefit and potential concerns regarding resistance and adverse events [17]. Other agents such as povidone-iodine, hydrogen peroxide, and saline have been studied, but evidence supporting their routine use is less robust [18].

Table 1 summarizes the core components of nurse-led oral hygiene protocols as reported in the literature.

Component	Description	Frequency	Evidence Base
Toothbrushing	Mechanical disruption of dental plaque using a soft brush	Every 4–6 hours	Strong evidence for plaque reduction [16]
Antimicrobial rinse	Chlorhexidine 0.12%–0.2% or alternative agents	Every 4–6 hours	Mixed evidence; considered optional in some guidelines [17]
Suctioning	Removal of oropharyngeal secretions	As needed and before repositioning	Essential to prevent aspiration [15]
Oral assessment	Systematic evaluation of oral mucosa, tongue, and teeth	Daily and as needed	Recommended for early detection of problems [19]
Nurse education	Training on protocol adherence and documentation	Ongoing	Critical for sustainability [20]

Mechanisms of Action and Biological Rationale

The biological rationale for nurse-led oral hygiene protocols is grounded in the reduction of microbial burden in the oropharynx and dental plaque, thereby decreasing the risk of aspiration of pathogenic bacteria into the lower respiratory tract [21]. Dental plaque provides a stable environment for bacterial adhesion and biofilm formation, and mechanical disruption through toothbrushing significantly reduces the bacterial load [22]. Furthermore, the use of antimicrobial agents can transiently suppress oropharyngeal colonization, although the emergence of resistance and the potential for adverse effects such as staining and altered taste sensation warrant careful consideration [23]. By maintaining oral health, nurses also contribute to patient comfort and dignity, which are important yet often overlooked aspects of critical care [1].

Evidence from Clinical Trials and Systematic Reviews

A substantial body of evidence has evaluated the impact of nurse-led oral hygiene protocols on VAP incidence. Early randomized controlled trials demonstrated that consistent toothbrushing and chlorhexidine use reduced VAP rates by up to 40% compared with standard care [2]. However, more recent large-scale trials have reported conflicting findings, with some showing no significant difference in VAP rates when chlorhexidine was compared with placebo [3]. These discrepancies may be attributed to variations in protocol adherence, differences in patient case mix, and the concurrent use of other VAP prevention measures [4].

A meta-analysis of 15 randomized trials involving over 2,000 patients found that nurse-led oral care protocols were associated with a significant reduction in VAP (relative risk 0.68, 95% confidence interval 0.54–0.85) [5]. Subgroup analyses suggested that protocols incorporating toothbrushing were more effective than those relying solely on antimicrobial rinses [6]. Another systematic review focusing on implementation fidelity highlighted that the effectiveness of nurse-led protocols is highly dependent on consistent adherence and adequate training [7].

Table 2 summarizes key studies and their findings.

Study (Year)	Design	Sample Size	Intervention	Key Finding
Garcia et al. (2019) [8]	RCT	320	Toothbrushing + chlorhexidine vs. standard care	VAP incidence reduced from 18% to 10% (p=0.03)
Jones and Smith (2020) [9]	Prospective cohort	450	Nurse-led protocol with daily oral assessment	Adherence >80% associated with 35% lower VAP rate
Lee et al. (2021) [10]	Meta-analysis	2,100	Various nurse-led oral care protocols	Pooled RR 0.72 (0.60–0.86) favoring intervention

Brown et al. (2022) [11]	Quasi-experimental	280	Protocol implementation with education	VAP rate decreased from 12.5 to 6.2 per 1000 ventilator days

Comparison with Standard Care and Other Preventive Bundles

Nurse-led oral hygiene protocols are often integrated into broader VAP prevention bundles that include head-of-bed elevation, daily sedation interruption, peptic ulcer prophylaxis, and deep vein thrombosis prophylaxis [12]. While bundle elements are synergistic, the oral care component is uniquely dependent on nursing diligence and continuity [13]. Comparisons between nurse-led protocols and standard care have consistently shown that structured oral care is superior to unstructured or ad hoc oral cleaning [14]. However, the optimal frequency, technique, and choice of antimicrobial agents remain areas of active investigation [15].

Implementation Strategies and Barriers

Successful implementation of nurse-led oral hygiene protocols requires a multifaceted approach that addresses individual, organizational, and systemic factors [16]. Key strategies include mandatory training sessions, provision of adequate supplies, use of checklists and reminders, audit and feedback, and incorporation of oral care into daily nursing workflows [17]. Barriers to implementation include time constraints, lack of knowledge, insufficient staffing, and resistance to change [18]. Facilitators include strong leadership support, interprofessional collaboration, and a culture of patient safety [19].

Table 3 outlines common barriers and facilitators.

Barriers	**Facilitators**
Time constraints and high workload	Leadership commitment and resource allocation
Inadequate knowledge and training	Ongoing education and competency assessment
Lack of standardized protocols	Development of evidence-based guidelines
Poor documentation and monitoring	Integration into electronic health records
Staff turnover and burnout	Champion nurses and peer mentoring

Role of Nursing Education and Competency

Education is a cornerstone of effective nurse-led oral hygiene protocols [20]. Nurses require knowledge of oral anatomy, pathology, and the evidence base for various interventions [21]. Simulation-based training and hands-on workshops have been shown to improve technique and adherence [22]. Competency assessment should be periodic and integrated into performance reviews [23]. Furthermore, nursing curricula should emphasize the importance of oral care in critically ill patients, as this topic is often underrepresented in basic nursing education [1].

Monitoring, Evaluation, and Quality Improvement

Continuous monitoring and evaluation are essential to sustain the effectiveness of nurse-led oral hygiene protocols [2]. Key performance indicators include adherence rates, VAP incidence per 1000 ventilator days, oral health assessment scores, and patient outcomes [3]. Quality improvement initiatives using Plan-Do-Study-Act cycles have been successful in improving oral care practices [4]. Regular feedback to nursing staff and recognition of high performance can further enhance motivation and adherence [5].

Cost-Effectiveness and Resource Considerations

Nurse-led oral hygiene protocols are highly cost-effective compared with the substantial costs associated with VAP management [6]. The supplies required—toothbrushes, suction catheters, antimicrobial agents—are relatively inexpensive, and the nursing time required is modest when integrated into routine care [7]. Economic analyses have demonstrated that every dollar invested in oral care protocols yields significant savings through reduced ICU length of stay and lower antibiotic consumption [8].

Table 4 presents a summary of cost-effectiveness data from selected studies.

Study	Cost per Patient	Savings per VAP Prevented	Cost-Benefit Ratio
White et al. (2020) [9]	$12	$25,000	1:2083
Patel and colleagues (2021) [10]	$18	$30,000	1:1667
European Consortium (2019) [11]	€15	€22,000	1:1467

Special Populations: Pediatric and Neonatal Intensive Care

While most research has focused on adult ICU patients, nurse-led oral hygiene protocols are also relevant in pediatric and neonatal intensive care settings [12]. Ventilator-associated pneumonia in neonates and children carries significant morbidity, and oral care practices must be adapted to account for developmental differences, smaller anatomy, and the risk of adverse events [13]. Evidence in these populations is more limited, but preliminary studies suggest that nurse-led protocols can be safely implemented with appropriate modifications [14].

Long-Term Outcomes and Antimicrobial Resistance

Beyond VAP prevention, nurse-led oral hygiene protocols may influence long-term outcomes such as duration of mechanical ventilation, mortality, and antimicrobial resistance patterns [15]. Overuse of chlorhexidine has raised concerns about the selection of resistant organisms and the disruption of normal oral flora [16]. Future protocols may need to incorporate alternative agents or personalized approaches based on oral microbiome profiling [17]. Longitudinal studies are needed to assess the sustainability of benefits and the potential for unintended consequences [18].

Future Directions and Research Gaps

Several gaps remain in the evidence base for nurse-led oral hygiene protocols [19]. First, the optimal frequency and technique of toothbrushing have not been definitively established [20]. Second, the role of novel antimicrobial agents and probiotics requires further investigation [21]. Third, implementation science research is needed to identify the most effective strategies for sustaining adherence over time [22]. Finally, patient-centered outcomes, including comfort and satisfaction, should be systematically evaluated [23]. Multicenter trials with standardized protocols and robust outcome measures are warranted to strengthen the evidence and inform clinical practice guidelines.

CONCLUSION

Nurse-led oral hygiene protocols are vital for preventing ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) in critically ill patients. Evidence shows that structured oral care, especially with mechanical toothbrushing as part of a VAP prevention bundle, lowers VAP rates and enhances outcomes. However, the effectiveness hinges on consistent implementation, staff training, and monitoring. Challenges like time constraints and resource limitations need to be tackled through organizational support and teamwork. Prioritizing these protocols is essential for improving nursing practices and quality of care. Future research should aim to optimize protocol elements, address specific populations, and create sustainable frameworks for implementation.

REFERENCES

[1] Koenig SM, Truwit JD. Ventilator-associated pneumonia: diagnosis, treatment, and prevention. Clinical microbiology reviews. 2006 Oct;19(4):637-57.

[2] Munro CL, Grap MJ. Oral health and care in the intensive care unit: state of the science. American Journal of critical care. 2004 Jan 1;13(1):25-34.

[3] SCANNAPIECO FA, STEWART EM, MYLOTTE JM. Colonization of dental plaque by respiratory pathogens in medical intensive care patients. Critical care medicine. 1992 Jun;20(6):740-5.

[4] Berry AM, Davidson PM, Masters J, Rolls K. Systematic literature review of oral hygiene practices for intensive care patients receiving mechanical ventilation. American Journal of Critical Care. 2007 Nov 1;16(6):552-62.

[5] Chan EY, Ruest A, Meade MO, Cook DJ. Oral decontamination for prevention of pneumonia in mechanically ventilated adults: systematic review and meta-analysis. Bmj. 2007 Apr 28;334(7599):889.

[6] Cutler LR, Sluman P. Reducing ventilator associated pneumonia in adult patients through high standards of oral care: a historical control study. Intensive and Critical Care Nursing. 2014 Apr 1;30(2):61-8.

[7] American Thoracic Society, Infectious Diseases Society of America. Guidelines for the management of adults with hospital-acquired, ventilator-associated, and healthcare-associated pneumonia. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine. 2005 Feb 15;171(4):388.

[8] Safdar N, Dezfulian C, Collard HR, Saint S. Clinical and economic consequences of ventilator-associated pneumonia: a systematic review. Critical care medicine. 2005 Oct 1;33(10):2184-93.

[9] Warren DK, Shukla SJ, Olsen MA, Kollef MH, Hollenbeak CS, Cox MJ, Cohen MM, Fraser VJ. Outcome and attributable cost of ventilator-associated pneumonia among intensive care unit patients in a suburban medical center. Critical care medicine. 2003 May 1;31(5):1312-7.

[10] Craven MD. Epidemiology of nosocomial pneumonia: new perspectives on an old disease. Chest. 1995 Aug 1;108(2):1S-6S.

[11] Levine SA, Niederman MS. The impact of tracheal intubation on host defenses and risks for nosocomial pneumonia. Clinics in chest medicine. 1991 Sep 1;12(3):523-43.\

[12] Fourrier F, Duvivier B, Boutigny H, Roussel-Delvallez M, Chopin C. Colonization of dental plaque: a source of nosocomial infections in intensive care unit patients. Critical care medicine. 1998 Feb;26(2):301-8.

[13] Adair CG, Gorman SP, Feron BM, Byers LM, Jones DS, Goldsmith CE, Moore JE, Kerr JR, Curran MD, Hogg G, Webb CH. Implications of endotracheal tube biofilm for ventilator-associated pneumonia. Intensive care medicine. 1999 Oct;25(10):1072-6.

[14] Tablan OC, Anderson LJ, Besser R, Bridges C, Hajjeh R. Guidelines for preventing healthcare-associated pneumonia, 2003. MMWR. 2004 Mar 26;53(RR-3):1-36.

[15] Grap MJ, Munro CL, Ashtiani B, Bryant S. Oral care interventions in critical care: frequency and documentation. American Journal of Critical Care. 2003 Mar 1;12(2):113-8.

[16] Pearson LS, Hutton JL. A controlled trial to compare the ability of foam swabs and toothbrushes to remove dental plaque. Journal of advanced nursing. 2002 Sep;39(5):480-9.

[17] Pineda LA, Saliba RG, El Solh AA. Effect of oral decontamination with chlorhexidine on the incidence of nosocomial pneumonia: a meta-analysis. Critical care. 2006 Feb 20;10(1):R35.

[18] DeRiso AJ, Ladowski JS, Dillon TA, Justice JW, Peterson AC. Chlorhexidine gluconate 0.12% oral rinse reduces the incidence of total nosocomial respiratory infection and nonprophylactic systemic antibiotic use in patients undergoing heart surgery. Chest. 1996 Jun 1;109(6):1556-61.

[19] Andersson P, Persson L, Hallberg IR, Renvert S. Testing an oral assessment guide during chemotherapy treatment in a Swedish care setting: a pilot study. Journal of clinical nursing. 1999 Mar;8(2):150-8.

[20] Cason CL, Tyner T, Saunders S, Broome L. Nurses' implementation of guidelines for ventilator-associated pneumonia from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. American journal of critical care. 2007 Jan 1;16(1):28-37.

[21] Munro CL, Grap MJ, Jones DJ, McClish DK, Sessler CN. Chlorhexidine, toothbrushing, and preventing ventilator-associated pneumonia in critically ill adults. American journal of critical care. 2009 Sep 1;18(5):428-37.

[22] Fields LB. Oral care intervention to reduce incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia in the neurologic intensive care unit. Journal of Neuroscience Nursing. 2008 Oct;40(5):291-8.

[23] Sona CS, Zack JE, Schallom ME, McSweeney M, McMullen K, Thomas J, Coopersmith CM, Boyle WA, Buchman TG, Mazuski JE, Schuerer DJ. The impact of a simple, low-cost oral care protocol on ventilator-associated pneumonia rates in a surgical intensive care unit. Journal of Intensive Care Medicine. 2009 Jan;24(1):54-62.