

Original Research

Received 07 June 2026

Revised 22 July 2026

Accepted 05 Aug 2026

Natural Resources for Human Health 2026, Vol. 6 (15s): 222–234

KEYWORDS:

Anesthesia Monitoring;
Perioperative Complications; Early Detection; Capnography;
Neuromuscular Monitoring;
Processed Eeg; Intraoperative Hypotension; Failure To Rescue;
Alarm Fatigue; Vision 2030

The Impact of Anesthesia Monitoring on Early Detection of Perioperative Complications

Majid Ahmed Felemban ¹, Shouq Mansour Alkahtani ², Amjad Naif Alotaibi ³, Faeqah Ali Njaei ⁴, Danah Sulaiman Alhusaynan ⁵, Khalid Abu Baker Almubarak ⁶, Amal Abdullatif Alasfour ⁷, Amnah Yahya Hamdi ⁸, Sahar Mohammed Alkhaibari ⁹, Ibrahim Abdulmuhsin Almuhrij ¹⁰

Workplaces / Anesthesia & nurses , King Abdulaziz Medical City Riyadh

ABSTRACT:

Background: Monitoring is the foundation of safe anesthesia. Since the adoption of formal monitoring standards in the 1980s, pulse oximetry, capnography, electrocardiography, and non-invasive blood pressure measurement have become universal, and anesthesia-related mortality has declined substantially. Yet perioperative complications — hypoxemia, hypotension, myocardial injury, residual neuromuscular blockade, hypothermia, awareness, delirium, and postoperative respiratory depression — remain common, and many are recognized late. The value of monitoring lies not in the data it generates but in the speed and reliability with which deterioration is detected, interpreted, and acted upon.

Objective: This review examines how anesthesia monitoring contributes to the early detection of perioperative complications, evaluates the evidence supporting each major monitoring modality, identifies the barriers that limit its effectiveness, and proposes an integrative framework for optimizing monitoring practice, with specific reference to the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and its Vision 2030 Health Sector Transformation Program.

Methods: A structured narrative review of randomized trials, cohort studies, national audit projects, closed-claims analyses, and international monitoring standards was conducted. Evidence was synthesized thematically and interpreted using Donabedian's structure–process–outcome model, Reason's Swiss cheese model, Endsley's model of situation awareness, and the concept of failure to rescue.

Findings: Standard monitoring reliably detects critical events such as hypoxemia and esophageal intubation earlier than clinical observation alone, although trials powered for hard outcomes are scarce. Advanced and targeted modalities — quantitative neuromuscular monitoring, processed electroencephalography, temperature monitoring, postoperative troponin surveillance, and machine-learning hypotension prediction — show measurable benefits for specific complications. Detection gaps are greatest during transitions and in the postoperative ward, where intermittent observation predominates. Alarm fatigue, inconsistent standards outside the operating room, limited training, and weak escalation pathways frequently prevent detection from translating into rescue.

Conclusion: Monitoring improves outcomes only when embedded in a system that converts signals into timely action. The proposed VIGIL framework — Vital-sign standards across all settings, Integrated and intelligent monitoring, Graded alarms and escalation, Interprofessional competence, and Learning from data — offers a practical

structure for health organizations and regulators seeking to extend the protective value of monitoring across the entire perioperative pathway.

1. INTRODUCTION

Anesthesia deliberately suppresses the protective reflexes, consciousness, and physiological regulation on which patients normally depend. Throughout this period of induced vulnerability, the anesthesia clinician assumes responsibility for detecting and correcting threats to oxygenation, ventilation, circulation, and organ perfusion. Monitoring — the continuous or repeated observation of physiological variables using clinical skills and electronic devices — is therefore not an accessory to anesthesia but its central safety mechanism.

The modern era of anesthesia monitoring began in 1986, when Eichhorn and colleagues published standards for patient monitoring at Harvard Medical School, mandating the continuous presence of an anesthesia clinician and systematic monitoring of ventilation, circulation, and oxygenation (Eichhorn et al., 1986). The American Society of Anesthesiologists adopted national standards shortly afterwards, and pulse oximetry and capnography became routine during the following decade. Closed-claims analyses from this period suggested that a meaningful share of serious anesthetic mishaps could have been prevented by these additional monitors (Tinker et al., 1989). Over subsequent decades, anesthesia-related mortality fell markedly (Bainbridge et al., 2012).

Despite this progress, perioperative complications remain a major public health concern. Postoperative myocardial injury, acute kidney injury, respiratory failure, delirium, and infection are frequent, and many evolve gradually from physiological derangements — hypotension, hypoxemia, hypothermia, or residual paralysis — that are detectable well before harm becomes irreversible. Hospitals with similar complication rates differ substantially in mortality, largely because of differences in their ability to recognize and rescue deteriorating patients (Ghaferi et al., 2009). Early detection, in other words, is often the difference between a transient abnormality and a fatal outcome.

Monitoring technology has also diversified. Alongside standard monitors, clinicians now have access to quantitative neuromuscular monitoring, processed electroencephalography (EEG), cerebral oximetry, advanced hemodynamic monitoring, point-of-care ultrasound, continuous ward surveillance, and artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms that predict events before they occur. Each promises earlier detection, but each also adds data, alarms, cost, and training requirements.

In Saudi Arabia, these developments coincide with the Vision 2030 Health Sector Transformation Program, which emphasizes quality, patient safety, digital health, and value-based care. Surgical activity is expanding across public health clusters and the private sector, and accreditation by the Saudi Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions (CBAHI) includes explicit requirements for anesthesia and sedation monitoring. This paper aims to: (a) analyse the contribution of each major monitoring modality to early detection of perioperative complications; (b) examine monitoring across the perioperative continuum; (c) identify barriers that limit its impact; and (d) propose an integrative framework and recommendations relevant to Saudi and international practice.

2. METHODOLOGY

A structured narrative review design was adopted because anesthesia monitoring spans physiology, technology, human factors, and health-system organization, and because the available evidence is heterogeneous in design and outcome measures. Literature was identified through PubMed/MEDLINE, Embase, CINAHL, the Cochrane Library, and Google Scholar using combinations of terms including *anesthesia monitoring*, *pulse oximetry*, *capnography*, *intraoperative hypotension*, *neuromuscular monitoring*, *bispectral index*, *processed EEG*, *perioperative hypothermia*, *myocardial injury after noncardiac surgery*, *postoperative respiratory depression*, *continuous ward monitoring*, *alarm fatigue*, and *failure to rescue*.

Priority was given to randomized controlled trials, systematic reviews, large cohort studies, the United Kingdom's National Audit Projects, closed-claims analyses, and current monitoring standards from the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA), the Association of Anaesthetists, and the WHO–World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists (WFSA). Saudi policy and accreditation documents were reviewed for national context. Findings were organized by monitoring modality and perioperative phase, and interpreted through the theoretical lenses described below. As a narrative review, the study is interpretive and does not provide pooled effect estimates.

3. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

3.1 Donabedian's Structure–Process–Outcome Model

Donabedian (1988) proposed that quality of care can be evaluated through structure, process, and outcome. Monitoring maps naturally onto this model. Monitors, alarms, staffing, and policies are structural elements; the act of observing, interpreting, and responding to data is a process; and complications avoided or mitigated are outcomes. The model highlights a frequently overlooked point: purchasing monitors improves structure, but outcomes change only when processes — interpretation and response — are reliable.

3.2 Reason's Swiss Cheese Model

Reason (2000) described organizational safety as a series of defensive layers, each with weaknesses that can align to permit harm. Monitoring functions as a critical defensive layer that can intercept an error — such as an unrecognized esophageal intubation or a drug overdose — before it causes injury. However, the monitoring layer itself has holes: monitors may be absent, disabled, poorly calibrated, set with inappropriate alarm limits, or ignored because of alarm fatigue.

3.3 Situation Awareness

Endsley (1995) defined situation awareness as the perception of elements in the environment, comprehension of their meaning, and projection of their future status. Monitoring supports the first level by making otherwise invisible physiology perceptible. Clinical expertise is needed for comprehension, while trend displays and predictive algorithms increasingly support projection. Errors at any level — failing to notice a signal, misinterpreting it, or failing to anticipate its trajectory — can delay recognition of a complication.

3.4 Failure to Rescue

Failure to rescue refers to death following a complication that might have been treated successfully had it been recognized and managed promptly. Ghaferi et al. (2009) showed that variation in surgical mortality between hospitals was driven less by differences in complication rates than by differences in rescue. Early detection is the first step in the rescue chain, followed by escalation, appropriate intervention, and access to critical care resources. The concept frames monitoring as part of a larger system rather than a stand-alone technology.

"A monitor does not save a patient; a clinician who sees the signal, understands it, and acts in time does."

4. EVOLUTION OF MONITORING STANDARDS

Contemporary monitoring standards share a common core. The ASA Standards for Basic Anesthetic Monitoring require the continuous presence of qualified anesthesia personnel and the continual evaluation of oxygenation, ventilation, circulation, and temperature, including pulse oximetry, end-tidal carbon dioxide monitoring during general anesthesia and moderate or deep sedation, continuous electrocardiography, and blood pressure measurement at least every five minutes (American Society of Anesthesiologists, 2020). The Association of Anaesthetists' 2021 recommendations extend these requirements to recovery and emphasize quantitative neuromuscular monitoring whenever neuromuscular blocking drugs are used, as well as processed EEG during total intravenous anesthesia with neuromuscular blockade (Klein et al., 2021).

At the global level, the WHO–WFSA International Standards for a Safe Practice of Anesthesia classify pulse oximetry and continuous clinical observation as "highly recommended" minimum standards applicable everywhere, and capnography as a further standard expected wherever resources allow (Gelb et al., 2018). Collectively, these standards reflect a progressive shift from monitoring as a matter of individual judgement towards monitoring as a non-negotiable institutional obligation.

5. MONITORING MODALITIES AND EARLY DETECTION OF COMPLICATIONS

5.1 Pulse Oximetry and Hypoxemia

Pulse oximetry provides continuous, non-invasive estimation of arterial oxygen saturation and heart rate, and is perhaps the single most widely adopted monitoring innovation in anesthesia history. In a large randomized trial involving more than 20,000 patients, Moller et al. (1993) found that pulse oximetry substantially increased the detection of hypoxemia and prompted more frequent corrective intervention, although it did not demonstrate a statistically significant reduction in overall postoperative

complications. A Cochrane review reached a similar conclusion: pulse oximetry improves detection of hypoxemia, but trial evidence for improvement in hard outcomes is limited (Pedersen et al., 2014).

This apparent paradox illustrates an important principle. Serious hypoxic events are rare, so trials capable of demonstrating mortality benefit would require impractically large samples, and few clinicians would now accept randomization to no oximetry. The value of pulse oximetry therefore rests on its consistent ability to reveal hypoxemia minutes before cyanosis, bradycardia, or arrest — time in which simple interventions are usually effective. Clinicians must also understand its limitations, including motion artefact, poor perfusion, dyshemoglobinemias, and reported overestimation of saturation in patients with darker skin pigmentation.

5.2 Capnography and Airway and Ventilatory Events

Capnography measures exhaled carbon dioxide breath-by-breath and provides immediate confirmation of tracheal intubation, ventilation, and circulation. It is the most reliable method for detecting esophageal intubation, circuit disconnection, apnea, airway obstruction, and hypoventilation, and it may provide early warning of malignant hyperthermia, pulmonary embolism, or circulatory collapse through characteristic changes in end-tidal carbon dioxide. Because carbon dioxide production depends on cardiac output, capnography is also a valuable indicator of the quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation.

The Fourth National Audit Project (NAP4) found that failure to use capnography, or misinterpretation of a flat capnograph trace, contributed to a considerable proportion of airway-related deaths, especially in intensive care units and emergency departments (Cook et al., 2011). The message — "no trace, wrong place" — has since been incorporated into airway guidelines worldwide. Capnography is equally important during procedural sedation, where hypoventilation precedes desaturation, particularly when supplemental oxygen masks the fall in saturation (Whitaker & Benson, 2016).

5.3 Hemodynamic Monitoring and Intraoperative Hypotension

Intraoperative hypotension is common and is now recognized as an independent risk factor for organ injury. In a large retrospective analysis, Walsh et al. (2013) found that mean arterial pressure below approximately 55 mmHg was associated with acute kidney injury and myocardial injury, with risk increasing with duration. Salmasi et al. (2017) showed that both absolute thresholds and relative reductions from baseline were associated with kidney and myocardial injury, and a systematic review confirmed associations between hypotension and adverse outcomes including mortality (Wesselink et al., 2018). These associations do not prove causation, but they strongly support timely detection and correction.

Detection depends on monitoring method. Intermittent oscillometric measurement at five-minute intervals may miss rapid or short-lived episodes, whereas invasive arterial pressure monitoring provides beat-to-beat data and is preferred in high-risk patients and procedures. Cardiac output monitoring and goal-directed hemodynamic therapy aim to detect hypovolemia and low flow before hypotension develops; the OPTIMISE trial and accompanying meta-analysis suggested a possible reduction in complications in major gastrointestinal surgery, although the primary outcome did not reach statistical significance (Pearse et al., 2014).

A newer approach seeks to predict hypotension before it occurs. The Hypotension Prediction Index, a machine-learning algorithm based on arterial waveform features, can forecast hypotension several minutes in advance (Hatib et al., 2018). In the HYPE randomized trial, its use reduced the median time-weighted average of hypotension compared with standard care (Wijnberge et al., 2020). This represents a conceptual shift from reactive detection to anticipatory management, although effects on long-term outcomes require confirmation in larger trials.

5.4 Electrocardiography and Myocardial Injury

Continuous electrocardiography enables detection of arrhythmias, conduction abnormalities, electrolyte disturbances, and myocardial ischemia. Automated ST-segment analysis can improve recognition of intraoperative ischemia, yet most perioperative myocardial injury is clinically silent: patients under anesthesia or analgesia rarely report chest pain. The VISION study demonstrated that elevated postoperative high-sensitivity troponin levels were common after noncardiac surgery and strongly associated with 30-day mortality, even in the absence of ischemic symptoms (Writing Committee for the VISION Study Investigators, 2017).

These findings have extended the concept of monitoring beyond the operating room. Routine postoperative troponin surveillance in high-risk patients can identify myocardial injury after noncardiac surgery that would otherwise go undetected, allowing cardiology review and secondary prevention. Thus, early detection of cardiac complications increasingly combines intraoperative electrophysiological monitoring with postoperative biochemical monitoring.

5.5 Processed EEG, Awareness, and Delirium

Processed EEG monitors, such as the bispectral index (BIS), translate cortical electrical activity into numerical indices reflecting anesthetic depth. The B-Aware trial found that BIS-guided anesthesia reduced the incidence of awareness in high-risk patients compared with routine care (Myles et al., 2004). However, the BAG-RECALL trial reported that BIS-guided anesthesia was not superior to a protocol based on end-tidal anesthetic gas concentration alerts in preventing awareness (Avidan et al., 2011). The Fifth National Audit Project (NAP5) found that accidental awareness was particularly associated with neuromuscular blockade, total intravenous anesthesia, and transitions of care, supporting processed EEG use in these contexts (Pandit et al., 2014).

Processed EEG may also help avoid excessive anesthetic depth, which has been linked to postoperative delirium in older adults. The CODA trial reported less postoperative delirium with BIS-guided anesthesia (Chan et al., 2013), whereas the ENGAGES trial did not find a reduction in delirium with EEG-guided anesthetic administration in older adults undergoing major surgery (Wildes et al., 2019). The current evidence therefore supports processed EEG for specific indications rather than as a universal solution, with benefit depending on how clinicians interpret and act on the data.

5.6 Neuromuscular Monitoring and Residual Blockade

Residual neuromuscular blockade — incomplete recovery of muscle function at the end of anesthesia — remains a common and preventable cause of postoperative respiratory complications. Murphy et al. (2008) showed that patients experiencing critical respiratory events in the post-anesthesia care unit had a markedly higher incidence of residual blockade than matched controls. Clinical signs such as head lift or grip strength, and qualitative assessment using a peripheral nerve stimulator, are unreliable for detecting minor degrees of residual paralysis.

Quantitative monitoring, which objectively measures the train-of-four ratio, is the only reliable method to confirm adequate recovery. An international consensus statement recommended quantitative monitoring whenever neuromuscular blocking drugs are administered (Naguib et al., 2018), and the 2023 ASA practice guidelines strongly recommend quantitative monitoring and confirmation of a train-of-four ratio of at least 0.9 before extubation (Thilen et al., 2023). Despite this, adoption remains inconsistent, making neuromuscular monitoring one of the clearest examples of a gap between evidence and practice.

5.7 Temperature Monitoring and Perioperative Hypothermia

General and neuraxial anesthesia impair thermoregulation, and unintended hypothermia develops readily without active warming. Even mild hypothermia has important consequences: Kurz et al. (1996) found that maintaining normothermia reduced surgical-wound infection and shortened hospitalization, and Frank et al. (1997) reported fewer morbid cardiac events in normothermic patients. Hypothermia also increases blood loss and transfusion requirements and delays drug metabolism and recovery (Sessler, 2016). Core temperature monitoring during procedures longer than about 30 minutes enables early detection and guided warming, while temperature monitoring is also essential for recognizing malignant hyperthermia.

5.8 Cerebral Oximetry and Point-of-Care Ultrasound

Near-infrared spectroscopy measures regional cerebral oxygen saturation and may detect cerebral desaturation during cardiac surgery, carotid surgery, beach-chair positioning, and other high-risk situations. In coronary artery bypass surgery, interventions to prevent cerebral desaturation were associated with fewer major organ complications (Murkin et al., 2007), though evidence across broader populations remains mixed. Point-of-care ultrasound has become a powerful bedside diagnostic tool, enabling rapid assessment of gastric content, airway anatomy, lung pathology such as pneumothorax, cardiac function, and volume status. Its value for early detection depends heavily on operator training and structured credentialing.

Table 1. Monitoring Modalities, Complications Detected, and Strength of Supporting Evidence

Modality	Complications Detected Early	Evidence and Key Considerations
Pulse oximetry	Hypoxemia, desaturation, bradycardia	Improves detection; limited trial data on hard outcomes; accuracy limits in low perfusion and darker skin
Capnography	Esophageal intubation, disconnection, apnea, hypoventilation, low cardiac output	Strong audit evidence (NAP4); essential in sedation and outside the operating room
Arterial pressure / HPI	Hypotension, hypovolemia, low perfusion	Hypotension linked to kidney and myocardial injury; prediction reduces hypotension burden
ECG and troponin surveillance	Arrhythmia, ischemia, silent myocardial injury	Postoperative troponin identifies MINS associated with mortality
Processed EEG	Awareness risk, excessive anesthetic depth	Benefit in high-risk and TIVA cases; mixed delirium evidence
Quantitative NMT	Residual neuromuscular blockade	Strong guideline support; underused in practice
Temperature	Hypothermia, malignant hyperthermia	Normothermia reduces infection and cardiac events
NIRS and POCUS	Cerebral desaturation, pneumothorax, aspiration risk, cardiac dysfunction	Promising for selected cases; depends on training

Note. HPI = Hypotension Prediction Index; MINS = myocardial injury after noncardiac surgery; NMT = neuromuscular monitoring; TIVA = total intravenous anesthesia; NIRS = near-infrared spectroscopy; POCUS = point-of-care ultrasound.

6. MONITORING ACROSS THE PERIOPERATIVE CONTINUUM

Early detection must be considered across the entire perioperative pathway, not solely within the operating theatre. The intensity of monitoring typically declines sharply as patients move from the operating room to the post-anesthesia care unit and then to the general ward, while the risk of certain complications — particularly respiratory depression, sepsis, and cardiac events — persists or even increases.

6.1 Induction, Emergence, and Transfer

Induction and emergence are periods of rapid physiological change and frequent critical events, including hypotension, airway difficulty, laryngospasm, and aspiration. Transfer between locations introduces additional risk, as monitors are disconnected and reconnected and attention is divided. Transport monitoring standards that maintain continuous pulse oximetry, electrocardiography, and capnography for ventilated patients help close this gap, and structured handover ensures that monitored trends are communicated rather than lost.

6.2 Post-Anesthesia Care Unit

The post-anesthesia care unit is where many residual anesthetic effects — residual blockade, opioid-induced respiratory depression, hypothermia, nausea, and pain — first become apparent. Standardized discharge criteria, continued pulse oximetry,

and objective confirmation of neuromuscular recovery allow complications to be detected before patients are transferred to environments with less intensive observation.

6.3 The General Ward and Failure to Rescue

On general wards, monitoring usually relies on intermittent vital-sign measurements every four to eight hours. Sun et al. (2015) used continuous blinded oximetry after noncardiac surgery and found that prolonged hypoxemia was common and largely missed by routine nursing observations. A closed-claims analysis of postoperative opioid-induced respiratory depression found that most events occurred within the first 24 hours and were judged largely preventable with better monitoring and response (Lee et al., 2015).

Continuous ward surveillance offers a promising solution. At Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center, implementation of a pulse oximetry surveillance system with nurse paging was associated with significant reductions in rescue events and transfers to intensive care (Taenzer et al., 2010). Early warning scores, rapid response teams, and wearable wireless sensors further extend the detection window. Such systems directly address the failure-to-rescue problem identified by Ghaferi et al. (2009).

Table 2. Monitoring Priorities and Detection Gaps across the Perioperative Pathway

Phase	Priority Complications	Recommended Monitoring	Typical Detection Gaps
Preoperative	Unrecognized risk (OSA, frailty, cardiac disease)	Risk scores, baseline vitals, baseline troponin where indicated	No baseline for comparison; risk not communicated
Induction and intraoperative	Hypoxemia, airway events, hypotension, awareness, hypothermia	Standard monitors plus targeted NMT, pEEG, arterial line, temperature	Intermittent BP, disabled alarms, distraction
Emergence and transfer	Residual blockade, laryngospasm, hypoventilation	Quantitative NMT, transport monitoring, capnography	Monitors disconnected; incomplete handover
PACU	Respiratory depression, pain, hypothermia, nausea	Continuous SpO ₂ , temperature, structured discharge criteria	Premature discharge; reliance on clinical signs
General ward	Opioid respiratory depression, sepsis, MINS, bleeding	Continuous surveillance, early warning scores, troponin surveillance	Infrequent observations; delayed escalation

Note. BP = blood pressure; OSA = obstructive sleep apnea; PACU = post-anesthesia care unit; pEEG = processed electroencephalography; SpO₂ = peripheral oxygen saturation.

7. BARRIERS TO EFFECTIVE MONITORING

7.1 Alarm Fatigue and Information Overload

Modern monitoring generates a high volume of alarms, many of which are false or clinically insignificant. Repeated exposure leads to desensitization, delayed response, and the silencing or disabling of alarms — a phenomenon known as alarm fatigue (Cvach, 2012). The Joint Commission (2013) issued a Sentinel Event Alert on medical device alarm safety after identifying patient deaths related to alarm failures. In anesthesia, inappropriate default alarm limits, artefact, and multiple devices with unharmonized alerts can obscure genuinely critical signals.

7.2 Inconsistent Standards outside the Operating Room

Procedural sedation and anesthesia increasingly take place in endoscopy suites, radiology departments, catheterization laboratories, and outpatient clinics. In these settings, monitoring equipment may be older, capnography may be unavailable,

and staff may have less experience in recognizing and managing deterioration. Applying operating room monitoring standards consistently across all locations is essential to avoid creating a two-tier level of safety.

7.3 Knowledge, Training, and Interpretation

Monitoring data have value only if correctly interpreted. Misreading a capnography trace, misattributing desaturation to probe malfunction, or failing to recognize a trend in blood pressure can negate the benefit of the most sophisticated equipment. Advanced modalities such as processed EEG, quantitative neuromuscular monitoring, and point-of-care ultrasound require dedicated education and periodic competency assessment, which are not uniformly embedded in training curricula or continuing professional development.

7.4 Resource, Maintenance, and Interoperability Constraints

Advanced monitors involve capital and consumable costs, and devices require maintenance, calibration, and replacement. Lack of interoperability between monitors, anesthesia machines, and electronic health records limits trend analysis and documentation. Automation bias — excessive reliance on device outputs — and the black-box nature of some algorithms raise additional concerns about how clinicians should integrate machine-generated predictions into their judgement (Hashimoto et al., 2020).

8. INTEGRATED AND INTELLIGENT MONITORING

The future of anesthesia monitoring lies in integration rather than accumulation. Anesthesia information management systems can capture high-resolution physiological data, support automated documentation, and enable real-time decision support. Smart alarms that combine multiple parameters can reduce false alerts while improving sensitivity to true deterioration. Machine-learning models are being developed to predict hypotension, hypoxemia, postoperative complications, and the need for intensive care, drawing on intraoperative and electronic health record data (Hashimoto et al., 2020).

These technologies offer the possibility of moving from detection to anticipation — recognizing deterioration before abnormal values appear. However, they also require rigorous local validation, transparent performance reporting, attention to bias across patient populations, cybersecurity safeguards, and clear accountability. Crucially, predictive monitoring should support, not replace, clinical vigilance, and its value will depend on whether predictions trigger timely and appropriate action.

9. THE SAUDI ARABIAN CONTEXT

Saudi Arabia's Health Sector Transformation Program, a central component of Vision 2030, seeks to restructure care delivery through integrated health clusters, expand private-sector participation, and adopt value-based models. These changes place increasing emphasis on measurable outcomes and patient safety indicators, both of which depend on reliable perioperative monitoring.

CBAHI accreditation standards address anesthesia and sedation services, including pre-anesthesia assessment, intraoperative monitoring, recovery care, and discharge criteria. The **Saudi Commission for Health Specialties (SCFHS)** governs residency training and continuing professional development for anesthesiologists, anesthesia technologists, and nurses, offering an opportunity to embed competencies in advanced monitoring and alarm management. The **Saudi Food and Drug Authority (SFDA)** regulates medical devices, including monitors and AI-enabled software, and operates device-incident reporting systems relevant to monitoring failures. The **King Abdullah International Medical Research Center (KAIMRC)** and university hospitals provide capacity for local research on monitoring outcomes.

Several national characteristics heighten the importance of monitoring. The high prevalence of obesity, obstructive sleep apnea, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease increases the risk of airway, respiratory, and hemodynamic complications. Rapid digital transformation — including widespread electronic health records and national virtual care initiatives — creates opportunities for integrated monitoring, centralized surveillance, and perioperative data registries. At the same time, variation between facilities in equipment, staffing, and practice norms, and a diverse internationally trained workforce, underline the need for nationally consistent monitoring standards and training.

10. THE VIGIL FRAMEWORK FOR PERIOPERATIVE MONITORING

Drawing on the preceding analysis, this paper proposes the **VIGIL Framework** to guide organizations in maximizing the protective value of anesthesia monitoring. The framework recognizes that early detection depends on the alignment of

standards, technology, alarm design, human competence, and continuous learning, and it links these elements to Donabedian's structure, process, and outcome dimensions and to the rescue chain described by Ghaferi et al. (2009).

Table 3. The VIGIL Framework: Pillars, Focus, and Core Actions

Pillar	Focus	Core Actions	Donabedian Dimension
V — Vital-sign standards in every setting	Uniform minimum monitoring wherever anesthesia or sedation occurs	Adopt ASA/WHO–WFSA standards; mandatory capnography for sedation; transport and PACU standards	Structure
I — Integrated and intelligent monitoring	Connected devices and decision support	Interoperable monitors and EHR; trend displays; validated predictive algorithms with governance	Structure / Process
G — Graded alarms and escalation	Turning signals into timely action	Evidence-based alarm limits; smart alarms; tiered escalation and rapid response pathways	Process
I — Interprofessional competence	Interpretation and response skills	Simulation; credentialing for NMT, pEEG, POCUS; joint training for nurses and technologists	Process
L — Learning from data	Continuous improvement	Monitoring compliance audits; incident review; outcome dashboards; national registries	Outcome

Note. EHR = *electronic health record*; NMT = *neuromuscular monitoring*; pEEG = *processed electroencephalography*; POCUS = *point-of-care ultrasound*.

The framework is deliberately system-oriented. **Vital-sign standards** ensure that no patient falls below a minimum level of observation, regardless of location. **Integrated and intelligent monitoring** reduces fragmentation and supports anticipation of deterioration. **Graded alarms and escalation** address alarm fatigue while guaranteeing that critical signals reach the right person promptly. **Interprofessional competence** acknowledges that nurses, anesthesia technologists, and physicians all contribute to detection and response. Finally, **learning from data** closes the loop by measuring compliance and outcomes and feeding lessons back into practice.

"The goal of modern monitoring is to move the moment of recognition earlier — from harm to abnormality, and from abnormality to prediction."

11. RECOMMENDATIONS

11.1 For Clinical Practice

- ◆ Apply continuous capnography to all patients under general anesthesia and moderate or deep sedation, including in remote locations, and treat the absence of a capnography trace as esophageal intubation until proven otherwise.
- ◆ Use quantitative neuromuscular monitoring whenever neuromuscular blocking drugs are given and confirm a train-of-four ratio of at least 0.9 before extubation.
- ◆ Define individualized blood pressure targets, use invasive arterial monitoring in high-risk patients, and treat hypotension promptly.

- ◆ Use processed EEG during total intravenous anesthesia with neuromuscular blockade and consider it for elderly and other high-risk patients.
- ◆ Monitor core temperature in procedures longer than 30 minutes and maintain normothermia through active warming.

11.2 For Hospital Leadership

- ◆ Implement institutional alarm management policies with evidence-based default limits and regular review of alarm data.
- ◆ Introduce continuous surveillance for high-risk postoperative patients on general wards, linked to early warning scores and rapid response teams.
- ◆ Establish postoperative troponin surveillance pathways for high-risk patients undergoing noncardiac surgery.
- ◆ Invest in interoperable monitoring systems and anesthesia information management systems that support trend analysis and audit.

11.3 For Regulators, Educators, and Researchers

- ◆ Incorporate quantitative neuromuscular monitoring, sedation capnography, and ward surveillance into accreditation standards and survey measures.
- ◆ Embed advanced monitoring and alarm management competencies in SCFHS residency curricula and continuing professional development.
- ◆ Develop SFDA governance pathways for AI-enabled monitoring software, including post-market performance surveillance.
- ◆ Establish a national perioperative outcomes registry and support local studies evaluating monitoring practices and their impact on complications.

12. LIMITATIONS

This review has several limitations. As a narrative review, it is subject to selection and interpretation bias and does not provide pooled estimates of effect. Much of the evidence on monitoring is observational, and associations between physiological derangements and outcomes do not establish that monitoring-guided interventions prevent harm. Randomized trials of established monitors are scarce because of ethical constraints and the rarity of catastrophic events. Most evidence originates from North America, Europe, and Australasia, and published Saudi data on monitoring practice and perioperative outcomes are limited. The VIGIL framework is conceptual and requires empirical evaluation.

13. CONCLUSION

Anesthesia monitoring has transformed perioperative safety by making otherwise invisible physiology visible and by enabling clinicians to detect critical events earlier than clinical observation alone. Pulse oximetry and capnography have become indispensable defences against hypoxemia and airway catastrophe, while quantitative neuromuscular monitoring, processed EEG, temperature monitoring, troponin surveillance, and predictive hemodynamic algorithms extend early detection to complications that were previously recognized late or not at all.

The central lesson of this review, however, is that monitoring alone does not prevent harm. Its value depends on uniform standards, well-designed alarms, competent interpretation, effective escalation, and continuity of observation beyond the operating room. Within Saudi Arabia, the quality and digital transformation goals of Vision 2030 provide a timely opportunity to embed these principles into accreditation, training, and health information systems. By adopting an integrative approach such as the VIGIL framework, health organizations can ensure that every signal of deterioration is seen, understood, and acted upon in time.

REFERENCES

- [1] American Society of Anesthesiologists. (2020). *Standards for basic anesthetic monitoring* (Last amended December 13, 2020). ASA.
- [2] Avidan, M. S., Jacobsohn, E., Glick, D., Burnside, B. A., Zhang, L., Villafranca, A., et al. (2011). Prevention of intraoperative awareness in a high-risk surgical population. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 365(7), 591–600.
- [3] Bainbridge, D., Martin, J., Arango, M., & Cheng, D. (2012). Perioperative and anaesthetic-related mortality in developed and developing countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet*, 380(9847), 1075–1081.
- [4] Chan, M. T. V., Cheng, B. C. P., Lee, T. M. C., & Gin, T. (2013). BIS-guided anesthesia decreases postoperative delirium and cognitive decline. *Journal of Neurosurgical Anesthesiology*, 25(1), 33–42.
- [5] Cook, T. M., Woodall, N., & Frerk, C. (2011). Major complications of airway management in the UK: Results of the Fourth National Audit Project of the Royal College of Anaesthetists and the Difficult Airway Society. Part 1: Anaesthesia. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, 106(5), 617–631.
- [6] Cvach, M. (2012). Monitor alarm fatigue: An integrative review. *Biomedical Instrumentation & Technology*, 46(4), 268–277.
- [7] Donabedian, A. (1988). The quality of care: How can it be assessed? *JAMA*, 260(12), 1743–1748.
- [8] Eichhorn, J. H., Cooper, J. B., Cullen, D. J., Maier, W. R., Philip, J. H., & Seeman, R. G. (1986). Standards for patient monitoring during anesthesia at Harvard Medical School. *JAMA*, 256(8), 1017–1020.
- [9] Endsley, M. R. (1995). Toward a theory of situation awareness in dynamic systems. *Human Factors*, 37(1), 32–64.
- [10] Frank, S. M., Fleisher, L. A., Breslow, M. J., Higgins, M. S., Olson, K. F., Kelly, S., & Beattie, C. (1997). Perioperative maintenance of normothermia reduces the incidence of morbid cardiac events: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*, 277(14), 1127–1134.
- [11] Gelb, A. W., Morriss, W. W., Johnson, W., Merry, A. F., & International Standards for a Safe Practice of Anesthesia Workgroup. (2018). World Health Organization–World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists (WHO-WFSA) international standards for a safe practice of anesthesia. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 126(6), 2047–2055.
- [12] Ghaferi, A. A., Birkmeyer, J. D., & Dimick, J. B. (2009). Variation in hospital mortality associated with inpatient surgery. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 361(14), 1368–1375.
- [13] Hashimoto, D. A., Witkowski, E., Gao, L., Meireles, O., & Rosman, G. (2020). Artificial intelligence in anesthesiology: Current techniques, clinical applications, and limitations. *Anesthesiology*, 132(2), 379–394.
- [14] Hatib, F., Jian, Z., Buddi, S., Lee, C., Settels, J., Sibert, K., Rinehart, J., & Cannesson, M. (2018). Machine-learning algorithm to predict hypotension based on high-fidelity arterial pressure waveform analysis. *Anesthesiology*, 129(4), 663–674.
- [15] Klein, A. A., Meek, T., Allcock, E., Cook, T. M., Mincher, N., Morris, C., et al. (2021). Recommendations for standards of monitoring during anaesthesia and recovery 2021: Guideline from the Association of Anaesthetists. *Anaesthesia*, 76(9), 1212–1223.
- [16] Kurz, A., Sessler, D. I., & Lenhardt, R. (1996). Perioperative normothermia to reduce the incidence of surgical-wound infection and shorten hospitalization. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 334(19), 1209–1215.
- [17] Lee, L. A., Caplan, R. A., Stephens, L. S., Posner, K. L., Terman, G. W., Voepel-Lewis, T., & Domino, K. B. (2015). Postoperative opioid-induced respiratory depression: A closed claims analysis. *Anesthesiology*, 122(3), 659–665.
- [18] Moller, J. T., Johannessen, N. W., Espersen, K., Ravlo, O., Pedersen, B. D., Jensen, P. F., et al. (1993). Randomized evaluation of pulse oximetry in 20,802 patients: II. Perioperative events and postoperative complications. *Anesthesiology*, 78(3), 445–453.
- [19] Murkin, J. M., Adams, S. J., Novick, R. J., Quantz, M., Bainbridge, D., Iglesias, I., et al. (2007). Monitoring brain oxygen saturation during coronary bypass surgery: A randomized, prospective study. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 104(1), 51–58.

- [20] Murphy, G. S., Szokol, J. W., Marymont, J. H., Greenberg, S. B., Avram, M. J., & Vender, J. S. (2008). Residual neuromuscular blockade and critical respiratory events in the postanesthesia care unit. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 107(1), 130–137.
- [21] Myles, P. S., Leslie, K., McNeil, J., Forbes, A., & Chan, M. T. V. (2004). Bispectral index monitoring to prevent awareness during anaesthesia: The B-Aware randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet*, 363(9423), 1757–1763.
- [22] Naguib, M., Brull, S. J., Kopman, A. F., Hunter, J. M., Fülesdi, B., Arkes, H. R., et al. (2018). Consensus statement on perioperative use of neuromuscular monitoring. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 127(1), 71–80.
- [23] Pandit, J. J., Andrade, J., Bogod, D. G., Hitchman, J. M., Jonker, W. R., Lucas, N., et al. (2014). 5th National Audit Project (NAP5) on accidental awareness during general anaesthesia: Summary of main findings and risk factors. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, 113(4), 549–559.
- [24] Pearse, R. M., Harrison, D. A., MacDonald, N., Gillies, M. A., Blunt, M., Ackland, G., et al. (2014). Effect of a perioperative, cardiac output-guided hemodynamic therapy algorithm on outcomes following major gastrointestinal surgery: A randomized clinical trial and systematic review. *JAMA*, 311(21), 2181–2190.
- [25] Pedersen, T., Nicholson, A., Hovhannisyan, K., Möller, A. M., Smith, A. F., & Lewis, S. R. (2014). Pulse oximetry for perioperative monitoring. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2014(3), CD002013.
- [26] Reason, J. (2000). Human error: Models and management. *BMJ*, 320(7237), 768–770.
- [27] Salmasi, V., Maheshwari, K., Yang, D., Mascha, E. J., Singh, A., Sessler, D. I., & Kurz, A. (2017). Relationship between intraoperative hypotension, defined by either reduction from baseline or absolute thresholds, and acute kidney and myocardial injury after noncardiac surgery: A retrospective cohort analysis. *Anesthesiology*, 126(1), 47–65.
- [28] Saudi Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions. (2019). *National hospital standards* (3rd ed.). CBAHI.
- [29] Saudi Vision 2030. (2021). *Health Sector Transformation Program: Delivery plan*. Government of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
- [30] Sessler, D. I. (2016). Perioperative thermoregulation and heat balance. *The Lancet*, 387(10038), 2655–2664.
- [31] Sun, Z., Sessler, D. I., Dalton, J. E., Devereaux, P. J., Shahinyan, A., Naylor, A. J., et al. (2015). Postoperative hypoxemia is common and persistent: A prospective blinded observational study. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 121(3), 709–715.
- [32] Taenzer, A. H., Pyke, J. B., McGrath, S. P., & Blike, G. T. (2010). Impact of pulse oximetry surveillance on rescue events and intensive care unit transfers: A before-and-after concurrence study. *Anesthesiology*, 112(2), 282–287.
- [33] The Joint Commission. (2013). Medical device alarm safety in hospitals. *Sentinel Event Alert*, 50, 1–3.
- [34] Thilen, S. R., Weigel, W. A., Todd, M. M., Dutton, R. P., Lien, C. A., Grant, S. A., et al. (2023). 2023 American Society of Anesthesiologists practice guidelines for monitoring and antagonism of neuromuscular blockade. *Anesthesiology*, 138(1), 13–41.
- [35] Tinker, J. H., Dull, D. L., Caplan, R. A., Ward, R. J., & Cheney, F. W. (1989). Role of monitoring devices in prevention of anesthetic mishaps: A closed claims analysis. *Anesthesiology*, 71(4), 541–546.
- [36] Walsh, M., Devereaux, P. J., Garg, A. X., Kurz, A., Turan, A., Rodseth, R. N., et al. (2013). Relationship between intraoperative mean arterial pressure and clinical outcomes after noncardiac surgery: Toward an empirical definition of hypotension. *Anesthesiology*, 119(3), 507–515.
- [37] Wesselink, E. M., Kappen, T. H., Torn, H. M., Slooter, A. J. C., & van Klei, W. A. (2018). Intraoperative hypotension and the risk of postoperative adverse outcomes: A systematic review. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, 121(4), 706–721.
- [38] Whitaker, D. K., & Benson, J. P. (2016). Capnography standards for outside the operating room. *Current Opinion in Anaesthesiology*, 29(4), 485–492.
- [39] Wijnberge, M., Geerts, B. F., Hol, L., Lemmers, N., Mulder, M. P., Berge, P., et al. (2020). Effect of a machine learning-derived early warning system for intraoperative hypotension vs standard care on depth and duration of intraoperative hypotension during elective noncardiac surgery: The HYPE randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*, 323(11), 1052–1060.

- [40] Wildes, T. S., Mickle, A. M., Ben Abdallah, A., Maybrier, H. R., Oberhaus, J., Budelier, T. P., et al. (2019). Effect of electroencephalography-guided anesthetic administration on postoperative delirium among older adults undergoing major surgery: The ENGAGES randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*, 321(5), 473–483.
- [41] World Health Organization. (2021). *Global patient safety action plan 2021–2030: Towards eliminating avoidable harm in health care*. WHO.
- [42] Writing Committee for the VISION Study Investigators. (2017). Association of postoperative high-sensitivity troponin levels with myocardial injury and 30-day mortality among patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *JAMA*, 317(16), 1642–1651.