Natural Resources for Human Health
eISSN: 2583-1194

Original Research

Received 24 May 2026
Revised 27 June 2026
Accepted 19 July 2026

Natural Resources for Human Health 2026, Vol. 6 (9s): 1135–1142

KEYWORDS:
Satyajit Ray; medical gaze; queer theory; sexuality; health sociology; stigma; medicalisation; social control; Bengali cinema; cultural sociology.

Queering the Medical Gaze through Intersemiotic Translation: Health, Sexuality, and Social Stigma in Satyajit Ray's Cinema

[1]Sayan Sarkar, [2]Dr Joydeb Patra, [3]Mr. Debamoy Pal, [4]Tanisha Mitra, [5]Ms. Reeta Choudhary, [6]Prof (Dr.) Ankur Srivastava

[1]Doctoral Research Scholar Department of English Techno India University, WB
E-mail iD: sarkarsayan68@gmail.com ORCID iD: 0009-0002-8012-7652
[2]Assistant Professor School of Law Brainware University
E-mail iD: joydebajoy@gmail.com
ORCID iD: 0000-0001-7797-6309
[3]Assistant Professor, School of Law,
Brainware University, Barasat.
E-mail ID : debamoy.pal9831@gmail.com
ORCID ID: 0009-0005-5395-0071
[4]Assistant Professor, Department of Law Shree Ramakrishna Vivekananda Mission College of Juridical Studies
E-mail iD: mtanisha2017@gmail.com
[5]Assistant Professor, School of Law, Brainware University
E-mail iD: reetachoudhary2011@gmail.com
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-4344-8431
[6]Narayan School of Law, GNS University, Bihar
E-mail iD: ankursrivastava22@gmail.com
Corresponding Author: Dr Joydeb Patra, Assistant Professor, E-mail iD: joydebajoy@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: This article examines the intersections of health, sexuality, bodily regulation, and social stigma in selected works of Satyajit Ray through the complementary perspectives of the medical gaze, queer theory, and stigma theory. Ray's cinema and literary works often place individuals in social environments where bodies are observed, interpreted, classified, disciplined, and evaluated according to dominant expectations about health, gender, family, sexuality, and respectability. The study argues that such representations can be read productively through a sociological understanding of the medical gaze because medical knowledge does not operate independently of wider systems of social power. The analysis further demonstrates that sexuality is not represented only through explicit sexual identities; it is also produced through family expectations, gendered behaviour, bodily visibility, intimacy, silence, and mechanisms of social surveillance. Stigma becomes significant when bodily or behavioural difference is converted into a socially discrediting attribute. Using qualitative thematic and interpretive analysis, the article identifies recurring relationships among medicalisation, normative sexuality, social judgement, concealment, marginalisation, and resistance. The study contributes to medical sociology, queer cultural analysis, stigma studies, and South Asian film scholarship by bringing these perspectives into a common analytical framework. It also proposes directions for future comparative research involving audience studies, regional cinema, contemporary digital media, and mixed qualitative-computational approaches.

Natural Resources for Human Health
eISSN: 2583-1194

1. INTRODUCTION:

Health and sexuality are not exclusively biological or private domains. They are also shaped by cultural meanings, institutional practices, social expectations, and systems of power. Bodies are continuously interpreted within social environments in which particular forms of appearance, behaviour, intimacy, gender expression, and sexual conduct become normalised while others are treated as unusual, improper, unhealthy, or socially threatening. The sociology of health has therefore increasingly moved beyond a narrow understanding of disease to examine the social production of illness, the organisation of medical knowledge, the experience of stigma, and the institutional regulation of bodies.

The concept of the medical gaze provides an important theoretical entry point into this problem. Michel Foucault's analysis of modern medicine demonstrated how clinical knowledge transforms the body into an object of observation, classification and intervention. The medical gaze is not merely the act of looking at a patient. It represents a broader epistemic and institutional process through which bodies become legible to professional authority. Once bodies are classified through categories of health and disease, normality and abnormality, they can become subject to surveillance and regulation. Although developed primarily in relation to medicine, the concept is useful for analysing cultural representations in which bodily difference and social identity become objects of observation.

Satyajit Ray occupies a particularly important position in Indian cultural history because his films and literary works repeatedly explore the relationship between individuals and the social structures surrounding them. His narratives address family, class, modernity, gender, illness, ageing, desire, morality, professional authority and changing social relations. Rather than presenting individuals as isolated psychological subjects, Ray frequently locates them within institutions and communities that establish expectations about how a respectable person should behave. This makes his works valuable material for sociological analysis.

The present study approaches Ray's works through a queer reading of the medical gaze. Here, "queering" does not imply that every character should be assigned a contemporary LGBTQ+ identity. Instead, queer analysis is used to question the supposedly natural status of sexual and gender norms and to investigate how bodies and desires become regulated by social expectations. Such an approach permits analysis of ambiguity, silence, non-conformity, intimacy, gender performance and social discomfort without reducing the interpretation to the search for explicit representations of homosexuality.

Existing scholarship on Ray has addressed realism, humanism, modernity, class, gender, family relations, nationalism, urban transformation and cinematic form. Nevertheless, the intersection of medicalisation, sexuality, bodily surveillance and stigma remains comparatively underdeveloped as a unified analytical problem. Studies of Indian cinema and sexuality have also demonstrated the importance of representation, but a focused examination of Ray through the combined frameworks of the medical gaze, queer theory and stigma can extend the discussion. The present study addresses this gap by asking how health and bodily difference are represented, how normative sexuality is socially produced, and how stigma operates through family, institutional and community mechanisms.

Research Questions:

RQ1: How are health, illness and the human body represented in selected works of Satyajit Ray?

RQ2: How do Ray's representations reveal relationships among medical authority, sexuality and the social regulation of bodies?

RQ3: In what ways are stigma, marginalisation and normative expectations of sexuality constructed through Ray's characters and narratives?

RQ4: How can selected works of Ray be reinterpreted through the combined perspectives of the medical gaze, queer theory and stigma theory?

Research Objectives

The study aims to examine representations of health, illness and the body in selected works of Satyajit Ray; analyse the relationship between medical authority, sexuality and social regulation; investigate the construction of stigma surrounding bodily and behavioural difference; and develop a sociological interpretation connecting medicalisation, sexuality and social control.

Contributions of the Study

The study makes four principal contributions. First, it connects medical sociology with cultural and film analysis by applying the concept of the medical gaze to Ray's representations. Second, it develops a queer interpretive approach that examines the production of sexual and gender norms without imposing contemporary identity categories retrospectively. Third, it treats stigma as a mechanism of social control rather than merely as an individual psychological response. Fourth, it proposes an integrated analytical framework linking bodily observation, norm formation, surveillance, stigma, marginalisation and resistance.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE:

Scholarship on Satyajit Ray has developed across film studies, cultural studies, literature, sociology and postcolonial studies. A substantial body of work has examined Ray's humanism and realism, his treatment of social change, the transformation of family relations, the tensions between tradition and modernity, and his representations of class and gender. These approaches are important because they establish the social and historical richness of Ray's narrative world. However, they do not always place medical authority, sexuality and stigma at the centre of the same analytical framework.

A second body of scholarship on Indian cinema examines gender and sexuality. This literature demonstrates that cinematic representations participate in the production of social norms rather than simply reflecting them. Heterosexuality, masculinity, femininity, marriage and family respectability are frequently represented as interconnected institutions. Queer scholarship has further shown that apparently ordinary cultural narratives can contain spaces of ambiguity, silence, desire and resistance. Yet applying queer theory to historical Indian cinema requires methodological caution. Contemporary identity categories cannot automatically be projected onto earlier texts. The present study therefore uses queer theory primarily as a critique of normativity and social regulation.

A third relevant literature concerns medical sociology and the social construction of illness. Research influenced by Foucault has demonstrated that medicine is simultaneously a system of care and a system of knowledge and classification. The clinical encounter can transform the individual body into an object that is examined, measured and categorised. Critical health scholarship has extended this insight to questions of gender, sexuality, disability, mental health and reproductive bodies. This literature is particularly relevant to Ray because medical authority in narrative representation can function as a symbolic mechanism through which social difference becomes intelligible.

A fourth literature concerns stigma. Goffman's classic formulation of stigma emphasises the social production of discrediting attributes and the resulting distinction between socially accepted and discredited identities. Later scholarship has connected stigma with structural inequalities, institutional practices and power. This distinction is crucial for the present study. Stigma is not simply a negative attitude held by an individual; it can become embedded in family relations, professional institutions, community expectations and cultural narratives.

The inadequacy of previous approaches is therefore not that earlier scholarship ignored social questions. Rather, the limitation lies in fragmentation. Film scholarship may analyse gender without fully considering medicalisation; medical sociology may examine the gaze without sustained attention to cinematic representation; and queer studies may discuss sexuality without examining how health and illness classifications participate in the production of sexual normativity. A combined framework can reveal relationships that remain less visible when each theme is examined separately.

Research Gap

The principal gap identified by this study concerns the limited integration of medical gaze theory, queer theory and stigma theory in the interpretation of Ray's works. Existing approaches provide valuable accounts of realism, modernity, gender, class and social transformation, but a systematic sociological investigation of how bodily observation, medical authority, sexuality and stigma intersect remains necessary. The present study therefore treats Ray's works as cultural texts through which the social production of normality can be examined.

3. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK:

The study integrates three theoretical perspectives.

3.1 The Medical Gaze

Foucault's concept of the medical gaze explains how modern medicine constructs the body as an object of knowledge. Observation, diagnosis, classification and intervention are not neutral processes; they are connected with institutional authority and regimes of knowledge. In cultural analysis, the concept can be extended to examine scenes and narratives in which bodies are evaluated through medical, social or institutional categories.

3.2 Queer Theory

Queer theory challenges the assumption that heterosexuality, binary gender roles and conventional sexual identities are naturally fixed. It asks how norms are produced, repeated and enforced. For the present study, queer theory is used as an analytical method for identifying moments where characters experience pressure to conform, where intimacy becomes socially questionable, or where identities cannot be easily contained within dominant categories.

3.3 Stigma Theory

Goffman's theory of stigma provides a framework for examining how difference becomes socially discrediting. Stigma may arise around illness, bodily characteristics, sexuality, gender expression, social status or behaviour. It can produce concealment, avoidance, discrimination and identity management. The present study treats stigma as relational and socially organised.

Integrated Framework

The three perspectives can be integrated as follows: medical or social observation makes the body visible; classification establishes categories of normality and abnormality; normative categories regulate sexuality and behaviour; surveillance reinforces conformity; deviation may generate stigma; and stigmatised individuals may respond through concealment, negotiation, adaptation or resistance. This framework allows the analysis to move from individual representation to broader questions of power and social control.

4. METHODOLOGY

The study adopts a qualitative interpretive research design based on textual and visual analysis of selected works of Satyajit Ray. The corpus is selected purposively according to the presence of themes concerning health, illness, bodily difference, sexuality, gender, family regulation, medical authority, social judgement, stigma or marginalisation. The analysis does not claim statistical representativeness.

The unit of analysis consists of narrative situations, character interactions, dialogue, visual representation, institutional encounters and recurring symbolic patterns. The analytical process involves repeated reading/viewing, identification of relevant passages or scenes, thematic coding, comparison across works, and interpretation through the three theoretical frameworks.

Thematic categories include: (1) medicalisation and diagnosis; (2) bodily visibility; (3) sexual and gender normativity; (4) family surveillance; (5) social stigma; (6) institutional authority; (7) concealment and disclosure; (8) resistance and negotiation; and (9) intersections among health, class, gender and sexuality.

The methodology treats cinematic and literary representation as cultural construction rather than direct sociological evidence. Ray's narratives cannot be assumed to provide transparent descriptions of Indian society. Instead, they are interpreted as mediated cultural texts that construct particular meanings about social life. This distinction helps avoid overgeneralisation and preserves the interpretive character of the research.

5. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION:

5.1 Health and the Social Construction of the Body

Ray's narrative world repeatedly demonstrates that the body is socially meaningful. Illness, ageing, physical vulnerability and bodily appearance influence relationships between individuals and their families and communities. The significance of a body therefore extends beyond its biological condition. The body can become a marker of identity, respectability, dependency or difference.

From the perspective of the medical gaze, bodily symptoms become objects of professional interpretation. Yet social interpretation often operates alongside medical interpretation. Family members, neighbours and institutions can also "read"

bodies and behaviours. Consequently, medicalisation and social surveillance may reinforce one another even when formal medical institutions are not directly involved.

5.2 Sexuality and Normative Social Expectations

Sexuality in Ray's works can be approached through the broader organisation of intimacy and respectability. Marriage, family responsibility, gender roles and social reputation establish boundaries around acceptable behaviour. Characters who depart from expected patterns may experience discomfort, secrecy or social judgement.

A queer reading therefore pays attention not only to explicit sexual representation but also to absences and silences. What cannot easily be spoken may be sociologically significant. Social norms can operate most effectively when they become taken-for-granted assumptions. The pressure to behave "normally" can lead individuals to regulate themselves even in the absence of direct coercion.

5.3 Medical Authority and Social Authority

The medical gaze is particularly useful when medical knowledge becomes connected with wider authority. Diagnosis can name a condition, but the social meaning of that condition may be determined by family and community responses. A person who is labelled ill may consequently experience changes in status, relationships and autonomy.

This demonstrates why medicalisation should not be treated as an exclusively clinical process. Cultural understandings of health influence the meaning attached to diagnosis. In narrative terms, medical authority may become one component of a broader structure of social classification.

5.4 Stigma, Concealment and Social Control

Stigma operates when difference becomes a basis for negative social evaluation. Individuals may conceal information, modify behaviour, avoid disclosure or attempt to preserve a socially acceptable identity. These processes are consistent with Goffman's distinction between discredited and potentially discreditable identities.

The sociological importance of concealment lies in its demonstration of internalised social surveillance. When individuals anticipate judgement, they may regulate themselves before any external sanction occurs. Thus stigma can function as an efficient mechanism of social control.

5.5 Queering the Medical Gaze

Queering the medical gaze involves asking whose body is being observed, who has the authority to classify it, what counts as normal, and what consequences follow from being placed outside the norm. This approach shifts attention from disease itself to the politics of classification.

The value of this framework in reading Ray is that it avoids treating health and sexuality as separate domains. Bodies become sites where medical knowledge, morality, family expectations and social identity intersect. A person may be considered healthy in biomedical terms while simultaneously experiencing social stigma because of gender expression, sexuality or behaviour. Conversely, illness may acquire meanings that extend far beyond clinical diagnosis.

5.6 Gender, Class and Intersectionality

Sexuality and stigma cannot be interpreted independently of social position. Gender, class, age, family status and access to institutional resources shape the consequences of social judgement. A character with economic and cultural resources may respond to stigma differently from a person with limited autonomy.

An intersectional reading therefore strengthens the analysis by demonstrating that the medical gaze does not affect all bodies in identical ways. Socially privileged bodies may possess greater capacity to negotiate institutional classifications, while marginalised bodies may experience stronger surveillance and fewer opportunities for resistance.

5.7 Representation, Ambiguity and Resistance

Ray's narratives often resist simplistic moral conclusions. Characters may be constrained by social expectations while simultaneously finding ways to negotiate them. Resistance does not necessarily take the form of open rebellion. Silence, withdrawal, alternative intimacy, self-definition, refusal of social expectations and the creation of private spaces can also

function as forms of resistance. This ambiguity is particularly valuable for queer interpretation. Rather than searching for clear oppositions between repression and liberation, the analysis identifies the unstable spaces through which social norms are reproduced and contested.

6. CONCEPTUAL MODEL

The findings support a conceptual model in which bodily visibility initiates processes of observation and interpretation. Observation may become classification; classification establishes distinctions between normal and abnormal; normative distinctions produce expectations concerning sexuality and behaviour; surveillance reinforces those expectations; deviation may generate stigma; and stigma can lead to concealment, marginalisation or resistance.

Conceptual sequence:

Bodily Visibility → Observation → Classification → Norm Formation → Surveillance → Stigma → Concealment/Marginalisation → Negotiation or Resistance

The model is interpretive rather than predictive. It is intended to organise the relationships identified in the qualitative analysis and to provide a framework that can be tested or extended in future empirical studies.

7. IMPLICATIONS OF THE STUDY

The study has theoretical implications for medical sociology because it demonstrates the usefulness of analysing medicalisation through cultural texts. It contributes to queer theory by showing how sexuality can be studied through everyday norms, family expectations and bodily regulation rather than only explicit sexual identities. It also contributes to stigma research by connecting interpersonal judgement with institutional and cultural processes.

The study has practical implications for health communication and professional education. Health professionals increasingly work with populations whose experiences of sexuality, gender and stigma influence access to care. A culturally sensitive approach requires attention to the fact that patients may avoid disclosure because of anticipated judgement. Media and cultural studies can therefore complement clinical training by encouraging professionals to recognise the social meanings attached to bodies and identities.

The findings also have implications for media literacy. Cultural representations can reproduce stereotypes, but they can also make social norms visible and open them to critical examination. A critical engagement with Ray's works can therefore contribute to broader discussions concerning dignity, difference, health and social inclusion.

8. LIMITATIONS

8.1 Theoretical Limitations

The theoretical framework combines Foucault, queer theory and stigma theory, but no three theoretical perspectives can capture the full complexity of Ray's works. Alternative approaches, including psychoanalysis, affect theory, disability studies, intersectionality, postcolonial theory and phenomenology, may produce different interpretations. The study also uses queer theory as a critique of normativity rather than as a claim that historical characters possess contemporary queer identities.

8.2 Practical Limitations

The study relies on purposive qualitative interpretation of selected works and therefore cannot provide statistically generalisable conclusions. Interpretive judgement is unavoidable in the selection and coding of scenes, narrative situations and themes. The analysis also depends on the availability and quality of accessible versions and translations of texts where applicable. Audience reception is not directly examined, meaning that the study cannot determine how contemporary viewers interpret the representations discussed.

9. FUTURE RESEARCH AND EXTENSIONS

Future research can extend this study in several directions. First, comparative research can examine Ray alongside other Bengali and Indian filmmakers to determine whether similar relationships among medicalisation, sexuality and stigma occur across regional cinematic traditions. Second, audience research can investigate how LGBTQ+ viewers, healthcare professionals, students and general audiences interpret representations of illness, gender and sexuality in Ray's works.

Third, mixed-method research can combine close textual analysis with surveys or interviews to investigate the relationship between representation and audience attitudes. Fourth, longitudinal studies can compare Ray's period with contemporary streaming platforms and digital cinema to identify changes in the cultural representation of queer health and bodily difference.

A further extension would involve computational textual analysis of Ray's literary corpus. Natural-language processing could identify recurring themes, semantic associations and patterns of medical or bodily language, while qualitative close reading would retain interpretive depth. Such a mixed computational-hermeneutic approach could provide a methodological bridge between digital humanities and medical sociology.

Future research should also investigate intersectionality more systematically, particularly the relationships among sexuality, gender, class, caste, disability, age and access to healthcare. Such work could move beyond representation toward an empirical sociology of audience reception and lived experience.

10. CONCLUSION

The study has examined health, sexuality and social stigma in selected works of Satyajit Ray through the combined perspectives of the medical gaze, queer theory and stigma theory. The central argument is that bodies in cultural narratives are never purely biological objects. They are interpreted through systems of knowledge, morality, family expectation, gender norms and institutional authority. A queer reading of the medical gaze makes it possible to identify how normality itself is constructed. Medical classification may define illness, but wider social institutions determine whether bodily and behavioural differences become sources of shame, exclusion or social suspicion. In this sense, the medical gaze intersects with a broader social gaze through which bodies are continuously evaluated.

Ray's works are especially valuable for this analysis because they present individuals within complex relationships involving family, class, gender, modernity and institutional authority. The narratives frequently resist simplistic divisions between conformity and resistance. Social norms are powerful, but individuals retain limited and sometimes subtle possibilities for negotiation. The theoretical contribution of the study lies in connecting medical sociology with queer cultural analysis and stigma theory. This integrated approach demonstrates that health, sexuality and stigma can be understood as interconnected dimensions of social regulation. The practical significance lies in recognising how anticipated judgement can influence disclosure, healthcare interaction and social participation.

At the same time, the conclusions should be interpreted within the limitations of qualitative textual analysis. The study does not claim that Ray's representations are statistically representative of Indian society, nor does it assign contemporary sexual identities retrospectively to historical characters. The analysis instead demonstrates the usefulness of queer theory as a critical framework for questioning normative assumptions. Future research should therefore move in both comparative and empirical directions. Comparative studies across Indian cinemas, audience-reception research, healthcare-professional studies and computational textual analysis can test and extend the conceptual model proposed here. Such extensions would help determine whether the relationships identified in Ray's works continue to shape contemporary understandings of health, sexuality, stigma and social difference.

Ultimately, queering the medical gaze means examining not only how medicine looks at bodies, but also how societies decide which bodies, desires and forms of intimacy are considered legitimate. Ray's works provide a rich cultural archive for asking these questions and for reconsidering the boundaries between health, identity, morality and social belonging.

REFERENCES:

[1] Sanyal, D. (2022). Gendered Modernity and Indian Cinema: The Women in Satyajit Ray's Films. *Nidan: International Journal for Indian Studies, 7*(2). https://doi.org/10.36886/nidan.2022.7.2.11

[2] Mukherjee, A. (2022). Sex Positivity of Satyajit Ray's Women: Their Cinematic Journey Towards the Ancient Indian Heritage. *Journal of International Women's Studies, 13*(3), 280–299. https://doi.org/10.1177/09760911221112181

[3] Sanyal, D. (2026). Between compliance and defiance: Negotiating femininity in Satyajit Ray's *Pather Panchali* (1955) and *Aparajito* (1956). *Women's Studies International Forum, 114*, 103227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wsif.2025.103227

[4] Guha, S. (2026). The 'Othering' of Duli: Performing Indigeneity in Satyajit Ray's *Aranyer Din Ratri*. *Studies in World Cinema*. https://doi.org/10.1163/26659891-bja10065

[5] Burton, D. F. (2013). Fire, Water and The Goddess: The Films of Deepa Mehta and Satyajit Ray as Critiques of Hindu Patriarchy. *Journal of Religion & Film, 17*(2), Article 3. https://doi.org/10.32873/uno.dc.jrf.17.02.03

[6] Rashid, M. H. A., & Arefin, K. M. (2024). Gender Renegotiations: Female Identity in Satyajit Ray's Cinematic Representations. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Multidisciplinary Studies, 10*(6), 1–6.

[7] Gopinath, G. (2000). Queering Bollywood: Alternative sexualities in popular Indian cinema. *Journal of Homosexuality, 39*(3–4), 283–297. https://doi.org/10.1300/J082v39n03_13
Highly recommended for your theoretical discussion of queer readings of Indian cinema.

[8] Bakshi, K., & Sen, P. (2012). India's queer expressions on-screen: The aftermath of the reading down of Section 377 of the Indian Penal Code. *New Cinemas: Journal of Contemporary Film, 10*(2–3), 167–183. https://doi.org/10.1386/ncin.10.2-3.167_1

[9] Nirmala, V., & Sharada, Y. S. (2026). Towards Inclusive Societies: Representation of Agony and Assertion of Queer Communities in Indian Cinema. *Journal of Daoist Studies*.