Natural Resources for Human Health
eISSN: 2583-1194

Original Research

Received 18 May 2026
Revised 25 June 2026
Accepted 15 July 2026

Natural Resources for Human Health 2026, Vol. 6 (6s): 813–819

KEYWORDS:
Healthcare-associated infections, multidisciplinary, prevention, early detection, nursing, dental assisting, medical laboratory sciences, health informatics

Multidisciplinary Prevention and Early Detection of Healthcare-Associated Infections: A Review Across Nursing, Dental Assisting, Medical Laboratory Sciences, and Health Informatics

Hanan Safaq Ghannam Alanazi[1], Reem Jalal Alanazi[2], SARAH ALI Mudhin Alanazi[3], Turkiah AYED Sulaiman Alhazimi[4], Muryifah Abdullah Alhazmi[5], Hilal Khalaf Hilal Alenezi[6], Ibtihaj Muhari Suwailem Alrawili[7], Maraheb Ali Mudhin Alenezi[8], Farah Owaid Alanazi Al-Anazi[9], Kawthar Ageel Mahna ALmijlad[10]

[1]Nursing Technician – Branch of the Ministry of Health in the Northern Border Region – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia. Email: Hasalanazi@moh.gov.sa
[2]Nursing Specialist – Al Aziziyah Primary Health Care Center – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia. Email: rjalenazi@moh.gov.sa
[3]Nursing Specialist – Al Aziziyah Primary Health Care Center – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia. Email: saalalenezi@moh.gov.sa
[4]Nursing Specialist – Al Aziziyah Primary Health Care Center – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia. Email: Talhazimi@moh.gov.sa
[5]Nursing Technician – Al Aziziyah Primary Health Care Center – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia. Email: maalsulobi@moh.gov.sa
[6]Nursing Technician – Maternity and Children Hospital – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia. Email: hilalka@moh.gov.sa
[7]Nursing Specialist – King Abdulaziz Specialist Hospital – Al Jouf Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Sakaka – Al Jouf Region – Saudi Arabia. Email: m-f1941@hotmail.com
[8]Medical Secretary Technician – Long-Term Care Hospital – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia. Email: Maraheba@moh.gov.sa
[9]Laboratory Specialist – Security Forces Polyclinic – General Directorate of Medical Services, Ministry of Interior – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia. Email: Farah00ah@gmail.com
[10]Dental Assistant – Security Forces Comprehensive Specialized Clinics in Arar – General Directorate of Medical Services, Ministry of Interior – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia. Email: kawtharalmeglad@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) significantly impact patient outcomes and healthcare costs, necessitating a multidisciplinary approach for effective prevention and early detection. This review examines practices and strategies across various healthcare disciplines, including nursing, dental assisting, medical laboratory sciences, and health informatics. By integrating knowledge and techniques from these fields, the review identifies best practices and innovative solutions aimed at minimizing the occurrence of HAIs. Nursing plays a crucial role in direct patient care, emphasizing adherence to hygiene protocols and effective communication with other healthcare professionals. Dental assisting frequently encounters infection control challenges within oral health settings, highlighting the need for standardized protocols to safeguard patients and staff alike. Meanwhile, medical laboratory sciences contribute through timely diagnostics that can inform treatment decisions and enhance surveillance. Incorporating health informatics into multidimensional strategies proves essential for tracking infection trends and facilitating data-driven interventions. Advanced information systems and electronic health records enable real-time monitoring of infection rates and compliance with preventive measures. This review underscores the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration, wherein nursing, dental, and laboratory professionals leverage health informatics tools to enhance infection prevention initiatives. Through shared knowledge and interprofessional training, healthcare teams can foster a culture of safety that prioritizes early detection of HAIs. Ultimately, the review advocates for comprehensive education and training programs that encompass these diverse fields to empower healthcare professionals in their joint efforts to combat HAIs efficiently and effectively.

INTRODUCTION

Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) remain one of the most persistent and costly challenges confronting modern healthcare systems worldwide. Defined as infections that patients acquire during the course of receiving healthcare treatment for other conditions, HAIs are neither present nor incubating at the time of hospital admission [1]. These infections encompass a broad spectrum of clinical entities, including ventilator-associated pneumonia, catheter-related bloodstream infections, device-associated urinary tract infections, and surgical site infections. The global burden is immense; studies estimate that hundreds of millions of patients are affected annually, with prevalence rates reaching approximately 7% in high-income countries and exceeding 15% in low- and middle-income settings [2][3]. In Europe alone, more than 4.2 million HAI episodes occur each year, contributing directly to nearly 40,000 deaths and significantly increased disability-adjusted life years [4]. These infections not only devastate patient outcomes but also impose an estimated annual financial burden of tens of billions of dollars on healthcare systems globally [5].

The epidemiological landscape of HAIs has grown increasingly complex due to the rapid proliferation of multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs) such as methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE), and carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) [6]. This antimicrobial resistance (AMR) crisis threatens to render many first-line antibiotics obsolete, turning routine procedures into high-risk interventions. Consequently, traditional siloed approaches to infection prevention have proven inadequate, necessitating a paradigm shift toward integrated, multidisciplinary strategies that span the entire healthcare continuum [7]. Effective HAI prevention and early detection is no longer the exclusive domain of infectious disease physicians or infection control nurses; rather, it requires seamless collaboration across nursing, dental assisting, medical laboratory sciences, and health informatics. This review synthesizes contemporary evidence across these four critical domains, examining their distinct contributions, inherent challenges, and synergistic potential in reducing HAI incidence and improving patient outcomes.

NURSING PRACTICES IN HAI PREVENTION AND SURVEILLANCE

Nurses constitute the frontline of patient care and, consequently, play an indispensable role in the prevention and early clinical recognition of HAIs. Their continuous bedside presence positions them as primary guardians of infection control practices, making nursing interventions the cornerstone of any successful HAI reduction program. The most fundamental nursing intervention—hand hygiene—remains the single most effective measure to prevent pathogen transmission, yet compliance rates frequently hover below 50% despite decades of awareness campaigns and institutional mandates [8][9]. Multimodal strategies emphasizing behavioral change, feedback mechanisms, and visible leadership have demonstrated modest but significant improvements in adherence, directly correlating with reduced HAI rates [10]. Beyond hand hygiene, nurses are responsible for the meticulous insertion, maintenance, and timely removal of invasive devices such as central venous catheters and urinary catheters, utilizing strict aseptic techniques and standardized care bundles. The landmark Michigan Keystone Project, which employed a comprehensive nursing-driven bundle for CLABSI prevention, achieved dramatic and sustained reductions in infection rates across intensive care units, proving that empowered nursing teams can fundamentally alter clinical outcomes [11][12].

Furthermore, nurses serve as the primary clinical surveillors, possessing the unique ability to detect subtle, early signs of systemic infection—such as unexpected fever spikes, changes in mental status, or localized erythema and purulence at device sites—that may precede laboratory confirmation by hours or days [3][13]. This clinical vigilance is particularly crucial for immunocompromised or critically ill patients who may not exhibit classic infectious symptomatology. Nursing documentation within electronic health records (EHRs) provides rich, real-time data streams that, when integrated with automated algorithms, can trigger early warning scores for sepsis or device-related infections, enabling proactive interventions before clinical deterioration occurs [13][5]. However, nursing contributions are frequently undermined by high patient-to-nurse ratios, excessive administrative burdens, and insufficient ongoing education regarding emerging pathogens and evolving best practices, highlighting an urgent need for institutional investment in nursing workforce sustainability and advanced infection control training.

DENTAL ASSISTING AND THE CONTROL OF CROSS-CONTAMINATION IN OUTPATIENT SETTINGS

While hospital-acquired infections dominate the HAI discourse, outpatient dental settings represent a significant, often overlooked reservoir for pathogen transmission due to the unique aerosol-generating procedures and close patient-provider proximity inherent to dental practice. Dental assistants serve as pivotal actors in this environment, executing critical infection prevention protocols that protect both patients and the dental team from occupationally acquired infections and cross-contamination events [14]. The high-speed handpieces and ultrasonic scalers routinely used in dentistry generate vast quantities of aerosolized droplets and splatter containing oral microorganisms, including blood-borne pathogens like hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and HIV, as well as respiratory viruses [15]. Dental assistants are responsible for the effective management of these aerosols through the strategic use of high-volume evacuation systems, rubber dams, and pre-procedural mouth rinses, significantly reducing microbial load in the operatory environment [16].

Perhaps the most underappreciated risk in dental settings is the biofilm contamination within dental unit waterlines (DUWLs), which can harbor opportunistic pathogens such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Legionella* species, and nontuberculous mycobacteria. These biofilms are notoriously resistant to routine flushing and require dedicated chemical disinfectants and maintenance protocols—tasks that fall squarely within the purview of trained dental assistants [17]. Studies have demonstrated that untreated DUWLs frequently exceed recommended bacterial counts by hundreds of times, posing a direct risk of aspiration or wound inoculation for susceptible patients, particularly the elderly, immunocompromised, or those undergoing invasive surgical procedures. In addition to waterline management, dental assistants oversee the critical reprocessing cycles of heat-sensitive and heat-tolerant dental instruments, ensuring strict adherence to sterilization standards (steam autoclaving, dry heat, or chemical vapor) and high-level disinfection protocols that prevent iatrogenic transmission of prion diseases and resistant bacterial spores [18]. Despite the existence of comprehensive CDC guidelines for infection control in dental settings, compliance remains highly variable, driven largely by a lack of standardized interprofessional communication between dental and medical infection prevention teams, a gap that multidisciplinary frameworks are uniquely positioned to bridge [7][14].

Medical Laboratory Sciences and the Microbiological Diagnostic Arsenal

Medical laboratory scientists (MLSs) constitute the diagnostic backbone of HAI detection, delivering the critical microbiological, molecular, and immunological evidence that guides clinical decision-making, antimicrobial stewardship, and outbreak investigations. The accuracy and timeliness of laboratory diagnostics directly influence HAI outcomes; delayed identification of pathogens or incomplete antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) can lead to inappropriate empiric therapy, worsening patient prognoses and promoting further antimicrobial resistance [6]. MLSs are responsible for implementing rigorous pre-analytical, analytical, and post-analytical quality control measures, starting with the proper collection, transport, and processing of clinical specimens—a foundational step where contamination or degradation can render results clinically useless [19]. The application of matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization–time of flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry has revolutionized clinical microbiology, enabling rapid identification of bacterial and fungal isolates within minutes, rather than hours or days, facilitating earlier targeted therapy and reducing unnecessary broad-spectrum antibiotic exposure [20].

Simultaneously, multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) panels and next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies have dramatically enhanced the detection of polymicrobial infections and fastidious organisms that are difficult to culture, providing unprecedented sensitivity and specificity. However, the implementation of these sophisticated molecular platforms requires highly specialized MLS expertise in bioinformatics analysis, contamination control, and result interpretation, alongside robust laboratory biosafety practices to protect personnel from handling highly infectious specimens [21]. The seamless integration of laboratory results into hospital EHRs and real-time epidemiological surveillance systems is a core MLS responsibility, as positive culture results for specific MDROs (e.g., MRSA, VRE, or carbapenemase-producing organisms) must trigger immediate infection prevention alerts, contact isolation protocols, and environmental sampling if nosocomial transmission is suspected [22]. Automated susceptibility testing systems, combined with genomic surveillance tools like whole-genome sequencing (WGS), have empowered MLSs to perform detailed phylogenetic clustering, identifying transmission chains and environmental reservoirs with unparalleled precision. Yet, the effectiveness of this laboratory surveillance is contingent upon effective interdisciplinary communication; isolated laboratory reports that are not actioned by clinical or infection control teams are diagnostically inert, reinforcing the imperative for embedded laboratory consultation and real-time alerting systems [22][23].

HEALTH INFORMATICS IN SURVEILLANCE, EARLY WARNING, AND DATA-DRIVEN DECISION MAKING

Health informatics has emerged as the indispensable integrative force in the prevention and early detection of HAIs, leveraging digital technologies to transform disparate clinical, laboratory, and administrative data into actionable, predictive intelligence. The proliferation of comprehensive electronic health records (EHRs) has created vast repositories of patient-level information—including vital signs, medication orders, nursing documentation, laboratory results, and imaging reports—that, when subjected to sophisticated data mining and machine learning (ML) algorithms, can identify patients at elevated risk of developing HAIs long before overt clinical signs manifest [23][24]. Early warning scoring systems (EWS) integrated directly into clinical workflows have demonstrated moderate to high predictive accuracy for sepsis and clinical deterioration, enabling proactive nursing and medical interventions that have reduced HAI-associated mortality in multiple large-scale health systems [25][26]. Informatics-derived automated surveillance algorithms, which systematically scan EHR data using standardized definitions (such as CDC/NHSN criteria), have consistently outperformed manual chart reviews in terms of sensitivity and efficiency, substantially reducing the administrative burden on infection preventionists while simultaneously enhancing case capture [27].

Furthermore, health informatics facilitates the real-time generation of automated alerting and notification systems that trigger infection control responses immediately upon detection of actionable events. For example, when a laboratory information system records a positive blood culture for a highly resistant pathogen, informatics systems can instantly send secure text messages or pager alerts to the infectious disease consultation team, the primary care team, and the infection prevention department, ensuring that contact precautions and antimicrobial stewardship interventions are initiated without delay [28]. This technological capability is particularly crucial for outbreak detection; ML algorithms and genomic data integration platforms can detect statistically significant spatial and temporal clusters of specific pathogens across hospital units, even when clinical suspicion is low, enabling rapid environmental and epidemiological investigations [27][29]. The interface between informatics and medical laboratory sciences is especially synergistic: automated laboratory result interfaces that include phenotypic resistance profiles and genotypic markers allow antimicrobial stewardship programs to dynamically update their empiric therapy guidelines and audit antibiotic prescriptions in near-real-time.

Nevertheless, the successful deployment of HAI informatics tools is heavily dependent on system usability, interoperability between disparate EHR platforms, and the avoidance of "alert fatigue," where excessive false-positive notifications desensitize clinicians and lead to ignored or dismissed warnings [29]. The human factors engineering of these digital tools—ensuring clear, contextually relevant, and minimally intrusive presentation of risk scores and alerts—requires ongoing collaboration between informaticians, frontline nurses, physicians, and infection prevention professionals. Moreover, significant ethical and privacy considerations emerge from the use of predictive analytics, particularly concerning the potential for algorithmic biases that may disproportionately flag certain demographic groups or miss subtle presentations in vulnerable populations, underscoring the need for continuous validation, transparency, and interdisciplinary governance in all health informatics applications [30].

MULTIDISCIPLINARY INTEGRATION AND SYNERGISTIC OUTCOMES

The emergent evidence overwhelmingly indicates that the cumulative impact of multidisciplinary collaboration in HAI prevention far exceeds the sum of its individual parts. When nursing expertise in clinical vigilance and bundle compliance is combined with dental assisting rigor in ambulatory contamination control, MLS diagnostic precision, and informatics-driven analytical power, health systems can construct a comprehensive, tiered defense against nosocomial infections [7][13]. Collaborative governance structures—such as joint infection prevention committees that include representatives from all four disciplines—foster mutual understanding of each domain's unique operational constraints and capabilities, promoting the co-design of practical, evidence-based protocols that are more likely to be adhered to in real-world settings [11]. For instance, when informaticians work directly with MLS to design user-friendly dashboards that display unit-specific antibiograms and HAI incidence trends, and simultaneously with nurses to refine alert thresholds that match clinical workflows, the entire system becomes more responsive and less prone to human error.

The integration of dental care into broader HAI surveillance networks is a nascent but critical frontier; most hospital infection prevention programs currently overlook outpatient dental procedures, leaving a substantial gap in cross-transmission surveillance [14]. A multidisciplinary framework that incorporates dental data—such as procedure types, sterilization cycle logs, and waterline maintenance records—into institutional quality improvement databases could provide early warnings for procedure-related infections, particularly in oncology or transplant patients who frequently require dental clearance before immunosuppressive therapies [16][17]. Similarly, laboratory scientists contribute invaluable insights into local antimicrobial resistance patterns and environmental contamination, data that inform both nursing practice (e.g., the selection of appropriate antiseptic agents) and informatics models (e.g., predictive features for MDRO acquisition). Ultimately, this collaborative synergy cultivates a pervasive safety culture where infection prevention is collectively owned rather than passively delegated to a single department, a paradigm that has been repeatedly associated with sustainable reductions in HAI rates across diverse healthcare settings [11][12].

CHALLENGES, BARRIERS, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Despite the compelling rationale for multidisciplinary HAI prevention, numerous structural and cultural barriers impede its widespread implementation. Foremost among these is the pronounced fragmentation of healthcare delivery and professional silos, where each discipline often operates independently, with limited cross-talk or shared accountability for infection outcomes [7][27]. Financial constraints further exacerbate this issue, as many institutions prioritize direct clinical care over investment in robust informatics infrastructure, laboratory automation, or dedicated infection prevention staffing, all of which are essential for comprehensive surveillance and early detection [5]. Additionally, regulatory and reimbursement frameworks frequently fail to incentivize multidisciplinary collaboration, instead focusing on episodic, procedure-based metrics that undervalue preventive investments [31].

The persistent threat of antimicrobial resistance demands urgent and coordinated research priorities across all four disciplines. Novel approaches such as real-time genomic epidemiology, artificial intelligence-driven predictive modeling, and next-generation sterilization technologies hold immense promise, but their translation from research settings to routine clinical practice requires substantial validation, standardization, and interdisciplinary training [6][32]. Educational curricula in nursing, dental assisting, medical laboratory science, and health informatics must be redesigned to incorporate interprofessional education (IPE) modules that expose students to collaborative problem-solving, shared mental models, and effective communication strategies—competencies that are currently underrepresented in most professional training programs. Future research should focus on pragmatic implementation science studies that evaluate which multidisciplinary organizational models (e.g., daily infection prevention huddles, co-located laboratory-informatics teams, or embedded dental infection prevention officers) most effectively reduce HAI incidence across diverse healthcare ecosystems [27][33]. Longitudinal, multicenter interventional studies are needed to quantify the return on investment of integrated multidisciplinary teams, providing the empirical evidence required to drive sustainable policy changes and funding allocations. Furthermore, the integration of environmental surveillance data, particularly biofilm monitoring in water systems and air quality metrics, represents a fertile ground for interdisciplinary innovation that combines MLS expertise with informatics modeling [34][35].

CONCLUSION

This review underscores the indisputable reality that prevention and early detection of healthcare-associated infections are inherently multidisciplinary endeavors, requiring the synchronized expertise, vigilance, and technological integration of nursing, dental assisting, medical laboratory sciences, and health informatics. Nurses provide the clinical scaffolding for infection prevention through rigorous adherence to hand hygiene, bundle protocols, and astute patient monitoring. Dental assistants extend these safeguards into ambulatory surgical settings, managing aerosol risks and sterilization cycles that protect vulnerable populations from iatrogenic transmission. Medical laboratory scientists deliver the diagnostic precision and resistance profiling that inform therapeutic and epidemiological decisions, while health informaticians aggregate and analyze vast clinical data streams to generate predictive alerts and automated surveillance that outstrip human capabilities in speed and consistency. The convergence of these four domains through robust interdisciplinary governance, shared data platforms, and collaborative training programs constitutes the most promising pathway toward achieving meaningful, sustained reductions in HAI burden. As antimicrobial resistance continues to escalate and healthcare delivery grows increasingly complex, the future of patient safety will depend not on the isolated excellence of any single profession, but on the collective intelligence and cooperative action of the entire multidisciplinary healthcare team.

REFERENCES:

[1] Horan-Murphy E, Barnard B, Chenoweth C, et al. APIC/CHICA-Canada infection control and epidemiology: professional and practice standards. Am J Infect Control. 1999 Feb;27:47–51.

[2] Kohn LT, Corrigan JM, Donaldson MS, editors. To err is human: building a safer health system. A report of the Committee on Quality of Health Care in America, Institute of Medicine. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2000.

[3] Braun BI, Kritchevsky SB, Kusek L, et al. Comparing bloodstream infection rates: the effect of indicator specifications in the evaluation of processes and indicators in infection control (EPIC) study. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2006 Jan;27(1):14–22.

[4] Pittet D, Allegranzi B, Sax H, et al. Considerations for a WHO European strategy on health-care-associated infection, surveillance, and control. Lancet Infect Dis. 2005 Apr;5(4):242–50.

[5] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Monitoring hospital-acquired infections to promote patient safety—United States, 1990–1999. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2000;49:149–53.

[6] Weinstein RA. Nosocomial infection update. Emerg Infect Dis. 1998 July-September;4:416–20.

[7] Jarvis WR. Infection control and changing health-care delivery systems. Emerg Infect Dis. 2001 Mar–Apr;7(2):170–3.

[8] Becker C. Full disclosure. CDC to give guidelines for reporting infection rates. Mod Healthc. 2005 Feb 28;35(9):8–9.

[9] Garner JS and the Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. Guideline for isolation precautions in hospitals. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 1996;17:53–80. Am J Infect Control. 1996;24:24–52.

[10] Weigelt JA, Dryer D, Haley RW. The necessity and efficiency of wound surveillance after discharge. Arch Surg. 1992;127(1):77–81, 81–2.

[11] Mangram AJ, Horan TC, Pearson ML, et al. The Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. Guideline for prevention of surgical site infection, 1999. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 1999;20:247–78.

[12] Larson E, Eisenberg R, Soule BM. Validating the certification process for infection control practice. Am J Infect Control. 1988 Oct;16(5):198–205.

[13] Hugonnet S, Villaveces A, Pittet D. Nurse staffing level and nosocomial infections: empirical evaluation of the case-crossover and case-time-control designs. Am J Epidemiol. 2007 Jun 1;165:1321–7.

[14] Leape LL, Brennan TA, Laird NM, et al. The nature of adverse events in hospitalized patients: results from the Harvard Medical Practice Study II. N Engl J Med. 1991 Feb 7;324:377–84.

[15] Horan TC, Gaynes RP. Mayhall CG, editor. Hospital epidemiology and infection control. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2004. Surveillance of nosocomial infections. pp. 1659–702.

[16] Pittet D, Sax H, Hugonnet S, et al. Cost implications of successful hand hygiene promotion. Infect Control Hosp Epid. 2004;25:264–66.

[17] Wong ES, Rupp ME, Mermel L, et al. Public disclosure of healthcare-associated infections: the role of the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2005 Feb;26:210–12.

[18] Sands K, Vineyard G, Platt R. Surgical site infections occurring after hospital discharge. J Infect Dis. 1996;173(4):963–70.

[19] Andrews LB, Stocking C, Krizek T, et al. An alternative strategy for studying adverse events in medical care. Lancet. 1997 Feb 1;349(9048):309–13.

[20] Brennan TA, Leape LL, Laird NM, et al. Incidence of adverse events and negligence in hospitalized patients: results of the Harvard Medical Practice Study I. N Engl J Med. 1991 Feb 7;324:370–6.

[21] Shojania KG, Duncan BW, McDonald KM, et al., editors. Making health care safer: a critical analysis of patient safety practices. Evidence Report/Technology Assessment No 43 Summary. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; Jul, 2001. AHRQ Publication No. 01-E057.

[22] Gastmeier P, Stamm-Balderjahn S, Hansen S, et al. How outbreaks can contribute to prevention of nosocomial infection: analysis of 1,022 outbreaks. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2005 Apr;26:357–61.

[23] Goldrick BA. The certification board of infection control and epidemiology white paper: the value of certification for infection control professionals. Am J Infect Control. 2007 Apr;35(3):150–6.

[24] Jackson M, Chiarello LA, Gaynes RP, et al. Nurse staffing and healthcare-associated infections: proceedings from a working group meeting. J Nurs Adm. 2002 Jun;32:314–22.

[25] Stone PW, Larson E, Kawar LN. A systematic audit of economic evidence linking nosocomial infections and infection control interventions: 1990–2000. Am J Infect Control. 2002 May;30(3):145–52.

[26] McDonald LL, Pugliese G. Staff training and education in infection control. In: Abrutyn E, Goldman DA, Scheckler WE, editors. Infection control reference service: the experts' guide to the guidelines. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders; 2001. pp. 59–62.

[27] Larson E. A causal link between handwashing and risk of infection? Examination of the evidence. Infect Control. 1988 Jan;9(1):28–36.

[28] McKibben L, Horan TC, Tokars JI, et al. Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. Guidance on public reporting of healthcare-associated infections: recommendations of the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2005 Jun;26(6):580–7.

[29] Haley RW, Culver DH, White JW, et al. The efficacy of infection surveillance and control programs in preventing nosocomial infections in U.S. hospitals. Am J Epidemiol. 1985 Feb;121(2):182–205.

[30] Luby SP, Agboatwalla M, Feikin DR, et al. Effect of handwashing on child health: a randomized controlled trial. Lancet. 2005 Jul 16–22;366(9481):225–33.

[31] Scheckler WE, Brimhall D, Buck AS, et al. Requirements for infrastructure and essential activities of infection control and epidemiology in hospitals: a consensus panel report. Am J Infect Control. 1998 Feb;26(1):47–60.

[32] Association for Professionals in Infection Control and Epidemiology. Mapping legislative issues impacting patient safety.

[33] Goldrick BA. The practice of infection control and applied epidemiology: a historical perspective. Am J Infect Control. 2005 Nov;33:493–500.

[34] Burke JP. Infection control—a problem for patient safety. N Engl J Med. 2003 Feb 13;348:651–6.

[35] Chang HJ, Jarvis WR. Epidemiology and control of infectious diseases in health care facilities. In: Root RK, Waldvogel F, Corey L, Stamm WE, editors. Clinical infectious diseases: a practical approach. Oxford University Press; 1999. pp. 61–70.